

MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE 94th session Agenda item 21 MSC 94/21 26 November 2014 Original: ENGLISH

REPORT OF THE MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE ON ITS NINETY-FOURTH SESSION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		
1	INTRODUCTION – ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA	5
2	DECISIONS OF OTHER IMO BODIES	6
3	CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO MANDATORY INSTRUMENTS	6
4	MEASURES TO ENHANCE MARITIME SECURITY	19
5	GOAL-BASED NEW SHIP CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS	20
6	PASSENGER SHIP SAFETY	23
7	HUMAN ELEMENT, TRAINING AND WATCHKEEPING (report of the first session of the Sub-Committee)	26
8	SHIP SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT (report of the first session of the Sub-Committee)	28
9	NAVIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS, SEARCH AND RESCUE (report of the first session of the Sub-Committee)	32
10	IMPLEMENTATION OF IMO INSTRUMENTS (report of the first session of the Sub-Committee)	40
11	CARRIAGE OF CARGOES AND CONTAINERS (urgent matters emanating from the first session of the Sub-Committee)	44
12	CAPACITY BUILDING FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW MEASURES	56
13	FORMAL SAFETY ASSESSMENT, INCLUDING GENERAL CARGO SHIP SAFETY	56
14	PIRACY AND ARMED ROBBERY AGAINST SHIPS	60



15	IMPLE	MENTATION OF INSTRUMENTS AND RELATED MATTERS	62	
16	RELAT	TIONS WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS	65	
17		W AND REFORM OF THE ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING CATION OF THE COMMITTEES' GUIDELINES	65	
18	WORK	(PROGRAMME	66	
19	ELECT	TION OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 2015	73	
20	ANY C	THER BUSINESS	73	
21	ACTIO	N REQUESTED OF OTHER IMO ORGANS	76	
LIST OF ANNEXES				
ANNEX	1	RESOLUTION MSC.380(94) – AMENDMENTS TO INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SAFETY OF LIFE (SOLAS), 1974, AS AMENDED (CHAPTERS II-2, VI AND X APPENDIX)	AT SEA	
ANNEX	2	RESOLUTION MSC.381(94) – AMENDMENTS TO INTERNATIONAL CODE ON THE ENHANCED PROGRAM INSPECTIONS DURING SURVEYS OF BULK CARRIERS A TANKERS, 2011 (2011 ESP CODE)	ME OF	
ANNEX	3	RESOLUTION MSC.382(94) – AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE F CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPMENT OF MOBILE OFFSHORE D UNITS (MODU CODE) (RESOLUTION A.414(XI))		
ANNEX	4	RESOLUTION MSC.383(94) – AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE F CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPMENT OF MOBILE OFFSHORE D UNITS, 1989 (1989 MODU CODE) (RESOLUTION A.649(16))		
ANNEX	5	RESOLUTION MSC.384(94) – AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE F CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPMENT OF MOBILE OFFSHORE D UNITS, 2009 (2009 MODU CODE) (RESOLUTION A.1023(26))		
ANNEX	6	RESOLUTION MSC.385(94) – INTERNATIONAL CODE FOR OPERATING IN POLAR WATERS (POLAR CODE)	SHIPS	
ANNEX	7	RESOLUTION MSC.386(94) – AMENDMENTS TO INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SAFETY OF LIFE (SOLAS), 1974, AS AMENDED (NEW CHAPTER XIV)		
ANNEX	8	DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENT STANDARDS OF TRAINING, CERTIFICATION AND WATCHK FOR SEAFARERS (STCW), 1978, AS AMENDED		
ANNEX	9	DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO PART A OF THE SEAFARERS TE	RAINING,	

ANNEX 10 DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO PART B OF THE SEAFARERS' TRAINING. CERTIFICATION AND WATCHKEEPING (STCW) CODE ANNEX 11 DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO SOLAS CHAPTER II-2 ANNEX 12 RESOLUTION MSC.387(94) – AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPMENT OF MOBILE OFFSHORE DRILLING UNITS, 2009 (2009 MODU CODE) (RESOLUTION A.1023(26)) RESOLUTION MSC.388(94) TO ANNEX 13 AMENDMENT THE RECOMMENDATION ON CONDITIONS FOR THE APPROVAL OF SERVICING STATIONS FOR INFLATABLE LIFERAFTS (RESOLUTION A.761(18)) ANNEX 14 AMENDED TRAFFIC SEPARATION SCHEMES ANNEX 15 ROUTEING MEASURES OTHER THAN TRAFFIC SEPARATION SCHEMES RESOLUTION MSC.389(94) - AMENDMENTS TO THE EXISTING ANNEX 16 MANDATORY SHIP REPORTING SYSTEM "OFF CHENGSHAN JIAO PROMONTORY" DRAFT ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION ON REVISED GUIDELINES FOR ANNEX 17 THE ONBOARD OPERATIONAL USE OF SHIPBORNE AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS (AIS) ANNEX 18 RESOLUTION MSC.390(94) - AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE OF THE INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES FOR A SAFETY INVESTIGATION INTO A MARINE CASUALTY OR MARINE INCIDENT (CASUALTY INVESTIGATION CODE) (RESOLUTION MSC.255(84)) ANNEX 19 DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO SOLAS CHAPTERS II-1 AND II-2 AND APPENDIX (MAKING THE IGF CODE MANDATORY) DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE PROTOCOL OF 1978 RELATING TO ANNEX 20 THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SAFETY OF LIFE AT SEA, 1974 DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE PROTOCOL OF 1988 RELATING TO ANNEX 21 THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SAFETY OF LIFE AT SEA, 1974 ANNEX 22 DRAFT INTERNATIONAL CODE OF SAFETY FOR SHIPS USING GASES OR OTHER LOW-FLASHPOINT FUELS (IGF CODE) DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO MSC-MEPC.1/CIRC.4/REV.3 ON REVISED ANNEX 23 GUIDELINES ON THE ORGANIZATION AND METHOD OF WORK OF MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE AND THE ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION COMMITTEE AND THEIR SUBSIDIARY BODIES

ANNEX 24	DRAFT AMENDMENT TO MSC-MEPC.2/CIRC.12 ON REVISED GUIDELINES FOR FORMAL SAFETY ASSESSMENT (FSA) FOR USE IN THE IMO RULE-MAKING PROCESS
ANNEX 25	BIENNIAL STATUS REPORT OF THE SUB-COMMITTEES
ANNEX 26	PROVISIONAL AGENDAS FOR THE SUB-COMMITTEES
ANNEX 27	BIENNIUM STATUS REPORT OF THE MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE
ANNEX 28	POST-BIENNIAL AGENDA OF THE MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE
ANNEX 29	STATEMENTS BY DELEGATIONS AND OBSERVERS

1 INTRODUCTION – ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

- 1.1 The ninety-fourth session of the Maritime Safety Committee (MSC) was held at the IMO Headquarters from 17 to 21 November 2014, under the chairmanship of Mr. Christian Breinholt (Denmark). The Vice-Chairman of the Committee, Capt. M. Segar (Singapore), was also present.
- 1.2 The session was attended by delegations from Members and Associate Members; by representatives from the United Nations Programmes, specialized agencies and other entities; by observers from intergovernmental organizations with agreements of cooperation; and by observers from non-governmental organizations in consultative status; as listed in document MSC 94/INF.1.
- 1.3 The session was also attended by the Chairman of the Council, Mr. J.G. Lantz (United States), the Chairman of the Marine Environment Protection Committee, Mr. A. Dominguez (Panama) and the Chairman of the Facilitation Committee, Mr. Y. Melenas (Russian Federation).

Opening address of the Secretary-General

1.4 The Secretary-General welcomed participants and delivered his opening address, the full text of which can be downloaded from the IMO website at the following link: http://www.imo.org/MediaCentre/SecretaryGeneral/Secretary-GeneralsSpeechesToMeetings.

Chairman's remarks

1.5 The Chairman thanked the Secretary-General for his opening address and stated that his advice and requests would be given every consideration in the deliberations of the Committee.

Adoption of the agenda and related matters

- 1.6 The Committee adopted the agenda (MSC 94/1) and agreed that its work would be guided by the provisional timetable (MSC 94/1/2/Rev.1), on the understanding that it was subject to adjustments and on the progress made each day; and the arrangements for working and drafting groups (MSC 94/1/2). The agenda, as adopted, with a list of documents considered under each agenda item, is set out in document MSC 94/INF.18.
- 1.7 The following general statements were made by the delegations of:
 - .1 Ukraine, relating to the situation in the Crimean peninsula and the port of Sevastopol;
 - .2 the Russian Federation, in response to the statement by the delegation of Ukraine:
 - Oman, relating to piracy and armed robbery in the sea areas adjoining the Arabian Sea and the Gulf of Oman;
 - .4 Angola, relating to piracy and armed robbery in the Gulf of Guinea; and
 - .5 Nigeria, relating to piracy and armed robbery in the Gulf of Guinea,

which are set out in annex 29.

Credentials

1.8 The Committee noted that credentials of the delegations attending the session were in due and proper form.

2 DECISIONS OF OTHER IMO BODIES

Outcome of LEG 101, TC 64, C 112, FAL 39 and MEPC 67

2.1 The Committee noted the decisions of LEG 101 (MSC 94/2), TC 64 (MSC 94/2/1), C 112 (MSC 94/2/2), FAL 39 (MSC 94/2/3) and MEPC 67 (MSC 94/2/4), and took appropriate action under the relevant agenda items.

3 CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO MANDATORY INSTRUMENTS

GENERAL

- 3.1 Contracting Governments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention were invited to consider and:
 - .1 adopt proposed amendments to chapters II-2, VI and XI-1 as well as to the appendix of the annex (Certificates) to the Convention, in accordance with the provisions of article VIII of the Convention;
 - .2 adopt a new chapter XIV to the Convention, in accordance with the provisions of article VIII of the Convention; and
 - .3 adopt proposed amendments to: the International Code on the Enhanced Programme of Inspections during Surveys of Bulk Carriers and Oil Tankers, 2011 (2011 ESP Code), in accordance with the provisions of article VIII and regulation XI-1/2 of the Convention.
- 3.2 More than one-third of the Contracting Governments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention were present during the consideration and adoption of the said amendments by the expanded Maritime Safety Committee, in accordance with articles VIII(b)(iii) and VIII(b)(iv) of the Convention. The proposed amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and the code mandatory under the Convention had been circulated, in accordance with SOLAS article VIII(b)(i), to all IMO Members and Contracting Governments to the Convention by Circular Letter No.3451 of 20 May 2014 (MSC 94/3).
- 3.3 The Committee was also invited to consider and:
 - .1 adopt draft MSC resolutions on amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units (MODU Code) (resolution A.414(XI));
 - .2 adopt draft MSC resolutions on amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units, 1989 (1989 MODU Code) (resolution A.649(16));
 - .3 adopt draft MSC resolutions on amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units, 2009 (2009 MODU Code) (resolution A.1023(26)); and

.4 approve a draft MSC circular on *Early implementation of SOLAS* regulation XI-1/7.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE 1974 SOLAS CONVENTION

Proposed amendments to SOLAS chapter II-2

Regulation 10 – Fire fighting

- 3.4 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 agreed to amend the title of SOLAS regulation II-2/10.5.2 to specify its application to machinery spaces of category A only and noted that no comments had been received on the draft amendments.
- 3.5 The Committee confirmed the contents of the proposed amendments to SOLAS regulation II-2/10.5.2, subject to editorial improvements, if any.

Proposed amendments to SOLAS chapter VI

Regulation 2 – Cargo information

- 3.6 The Committee recalled that DSC 18 had prepared draft amendments to chapter VI regarding mandatory verification of the gross mass of a container carrying cargoes, which were approved by MSC 93 and noted that no comments on the draft amendments had been received.
- 3.7 The Committee recalled further that the draft amendments to SOLAS chapter VI are accompanied by draft guidelines regarding verified gross mass of a container carrying cargo, which was approved by MSC 93 and issued as MSC.1/Circ.1475.
- 3.8 The Committee confirmed the contents of the proposed amendments to SOLAS regulation VI/2, subject to editorial improvements, if any.

Proposed amendments to SOLAS chapter XI-1

New regulation 7 – Atmosphere testing instruments for enclosed spaces

- 3.9 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 approved the new SOLAS regulation XI-1/7 and noted that no comments on the draft amendments had been received. In this regard, the delegation of Japan expressed its concern about the lack of a definition for flammable gases.
- 3.10 The Committee recalled further that MSC 93 approved, as required under SOLAS regulation XI-1/7, MSC.1/Circ.1477 on *Guidelines to facilitate the selection of portable atmosphere-testing instruments for enclosed spaces*.
- 3.11 The Committee confirmed the contents of the proposed amendments to SOLAS regulation XI-1/7, subject to editorial improvements, if any.

Proposed amendments to the appendix (Certificates) of the annex to SOLAS

3.12 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 noted inconsistencies in the Record of Equipment for Cargo Ship Safety Certificate concerning the lack of an entry for the total number of persons accommodated by free-fall lifeboats and agreed it was an unintended oversight.

3.13 The Committee confirmed the contents of the proposed amendments to the appendix of the annex to SOLAS, subject to editorial improvements, if any.

Date of entry into force of the proposed amendments

3.14 The Committee agreed that the SOLAS amendments to chapters II-2, VI, XI-1 and to the appendix of the annex proposed for adoption at the current session should be deemed to have been accepted on 1 January 2016 and to enter into force on 1 July 2016.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO MANDATORY CODES

Proposed amendments to the 2011 ESP Code

- 3.15 The Committee recalled that the proposed amendments to the 2011 ESP Code were developed by SDC 1 and approved by MSC 93 (MSC 94/3, annex 3) and, having noted that no comments had been received on the draft amendments, confirmed their contents, subject to editorial improvements, if any.
- 3.16 The Committee agreed that the above amendments to the 2011 ESP Code proposed for adoption at the current session should be deemed to have been accepted on 1 January 2016 and to enter into force on 1 July 2016.
- 3.17 In this regard, the Committee also considered a proposal from IACS (MSC 94/3/6) on Guidance on pressure testing of boundaries of cargo oil tanks under direction of the master and agreed that the guidance should be disseminated through an MSC circular.
- 3.18 Subsequently, the Committee agreed to task the Secretariat to prepare the above MSC circular and invited interested Member Governments and international organizations to provide technical input and advice to the Secretariat, taking into account the comments made in plenary.

PROPOSED NEW SOLAS CHAPTER XIV - SAFETY MEASURES FOR SHIPS OPERATING IN POLAR WATERS

Regulation 1 – Definitions

Regulation 2 – Application

Regulation 3 – Requirements for ships to which this chapter applies

Regulation 4 – Alternative design and arrangement

- 3.19 The Committee recalled that the proposed new SOLAS chapter XIV was developed by SDC 1 and approved by MSC 93 to make the International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code) mandatory (MSC 94/3, annex 2).
- 3.20 In this context, the Committee noted that MEPC 67 approved the preamble, introduction and part II of the draft Polar Code and the associated draft amendments to MARPOL Annexes I, II, IV and V, for adoption at MEPC 68. In approving the draft amendments to MARPOL, MEPC 67 took actions relevant to the draft new SOLAS chapter XIV. In particular, MEPC 67:
 - .1 agreed to delete the words "by the Organization" in the definition of "Polar Code", bearing in mind that Article 16 of MARPOL allows for amendments to MARPOL not only after consideration by the Organization, but also by a conference, which is the same for SOLAS article VII(c); and

.2 agreed to several editorial corrections and improvements to the definitions of the "Polar Code", "Arctic Waters" and "Polar Waters", which should be considered prior to the adoption of the new chapter XIV of SOLAS, with a view towards harmonization (MSC 94/WP.5, annex 2).

Definition of "Arctic waters"

3.21 Having considered document MSC 94/3/5 (Norway), proposing amendments to the definition of "Arctic waters" in the draft new regulation XIV/1.3 for clarification purposes, as the words "the Island of Bjørnøya" are not clear due to the lack of related longitudes and latitudes, the Committee agreed to the aforementioned proposal and instructed the working group to make the necessary modifications, as appropriate.

Clarification of regulation 2.1 (Application)

- 3.22 The Committee noted a request for clarification of regulation 2.1 (Application) from the observer from IACS. The observer pointed out that regulation 2.1 states the following:
 - "1 Unless expressly provided otherwise, this chapter applies to ships operating in polar waters, certified in accordance with chapter I.",

and, in its view, chapter I includes the Safety Radio Certificate, with a 300 gross tonnage threshold. Additionally, the issue of high-speed craft, which are certified in accordance with chapter IX, was raised. In this context, the Committee agreed that, at this stage, the Code will apply to passenger ships and cargo ships of 500 gross tonnage and above only, and instructed the working group to further consider regulation 2.1 and advise the Committee accordingly.

3.23 Having considered the draft new SOLAS chapter XIV, the Committee confirmed its contents, subject to further relevant modifications to align the text of the new chapter with the relevant decisions of MEPC 67, including editorial improvements, if any.

Date of entry into force of the proposed amendments

3.24 The Committee agreed that the new SOLAS chapter XIV, proposed for adoption at the current session, should be deemed to have been accepted on 1 July 2016 and to enter into force on 1 January 2017.

DRAFT INTERNATIONAL CODE FOR SHIPS OPERATING IN POLAR WATERS (POLAR CODE)

3.25 The Committee recalled that the draft International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code) (MSC 94/3/1, annex) was developed by SDC 1 and approved, in principle, by MSC 93, with a view to making the safety related parts of the Code (Introduction and part I-A) mandatory under the 1974 SOLAS Convention.

Preamble and Introduction to the draft Polar Code

- 3.26 The Committee noted that MEPC 67, in approving the Preamble and Introduction to the draft Polar Code, prepared by the intersessional working group (MEPC 67/WP.8), took the following actions:
 - agreed to minor editorial modifications to paragraph 4 of the preamble and the chapeau of paragraph 2 of the introduction part, as set out in annex 1 to document MEPC 67/WP.14;

- .2 agreed to delete the definition of "tankers" which makes reference to SOLAS, having noted that MARPOL has defined the term differently, and invited the Committee to consider moving the definition of the term to part I of the Code; and
- .3 noted that figure 2 on "Maximum extent of Arctic waters application" is inaccurate and instructed the Secretariat to make corrections to the figure, for consideration by the Committee.
- 3.27 Following discussion, the Committee endorsed the aforementioned decisions of MEPC 67, and instructed the working group to finalize the Preamble and Introduction, taking into account the modifications agreed by MEPC 67.

Operational limitations

- 3.28 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/3/7 (IACS), presenting the Polar Operational Limit Assessment Risk Indexing System (POLARIS) for determining limitations for operation in ice, which was proposed as an update to the draft text in part I-B of the draft Polar Code (Additional guidance to chapter 1 (General)). The aforementioned proposed update was developed by IACS in collaboration with a number of Administrations with experience of establishing guidance and limitations on ships operating in ice, and has been validated with existing models and ice class rule background material;
 - .2 MSC 94/3/8 (IACS), proposing standard text for use as guidance for setting operational limitations for ships operating in polar waters. In this connection, the draft "Record of Additional Equipment and operational limitations for the Polar Ship Certificate" (MSC 94/3/1, annex, appendix 1) includes a placeholder for Record of Operational Limitations; however, no guidance on the setting of such limitations is currently provided in the draft Polar Code;
 - .3 MSC 94/3/18 (CLIA), commenting on POLARIS (MSC 94/3/7), and presenting the views that the system would be significantly enhanced and improved by further development and that incorporating the system into the draft Polar Code at this very late stage would be premature and without the necessary complete consideration;
 - .4 MSC 94/3/19 (Canada), commenting on POLARIS (MSC 94/3/7), and providing information on Canada's experience in the successful implementation and use of the Arctic Ice Regime Shipping System (AIRSS), in support of the POLARIS proposal;
 - .5 MSC 94/3/21 (Russian Federation), commenting on POLARIS (MSC 94/3/7), suggesting its modifications, taking into account the various modes of operation in ice and restrictions imposed on the ship's speed, and proposing the following:
 - .1 in table 1.1 of section 1.4 of POLARIS (MSC 94/3/7, annex), insert the words "only at ice concentrations not exceeding 7/10" after the words "Limited Speed Operation Permitted" in the middle column; and

- .2 restrictions on the ship's speed should be established for separate modes of operation and set individually for each ship, taking into account its ice class, hull strength, power of propulsion plant and steering ability for low speed modes;
- .6 MSC 94/3/22 (Russian Federation), commenting on POLARIS (MSC 94/INF.13), and proposing that POLARIS should not be included in part I-B of the draft Code, instead it should be a separate IMO instrument thus allowing it to be amended in due course, whenever necessary;
- .7 MSC 94/3/23 (Russian Federation), advising the Committee (paragraphs 8 and 9.2) that the AIRSS system (Canadian system) used as a basis for POLARIS, was extensively tested in the Russian Arctic in the 1990s, and one of the main conclusions made then was that the strength of summer (decayed) ice in AIRSS was seriously overestimated; and
- .8 MSC 94/INF.13 (Canada, Finland, Sweden, IACS), providing the technical background for the development of POLARIS and a comparison with existing limitations and guidance for operation in ice used in ice class rules and by Administrations.
- 3.29 The Committee, having considered the following views expressed during the discussions:
 - .1 in regard to the proposals contained in documents MSC 94/3/7 and MSC 94/INF.13, the focus on operational limitations in ice conditions in the Arctic is not fully applicable to the Antarctic;
 - .2 POLARIS is an excellent tool, but needs further detailed consideration, which at this late stage may affect the adoption of the Code; and
 - .3 it should be clear that there are other tools that could be used for determining operational limitations in ice conditions and, as such, any tools should be generic and avoid commercial names,

noted with appreciation the work done by IACS and Member Governments on the development of POLARIS, and instructed the working group to prepare terms of reference for a correspondence group to finalize guidance on a system for determining limitations for operation in ice, based on documents MSC 94/3/7 and MSC 94/INF.13 (see paragraph 3.65).

Polar Ship Certificate and the Record of Equipment

- 3.30 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/3/9 (IACS), proposing changes to the draft Polar Ship Certificate and its accompanying Record of Equipment, intended to indicate how limitations would be incorporated into the Certificate, and also to align the Certificate and Record of Equipment with other SOLAS documents;
 - .2 MSC 94/3/11 (Canada), discussing the documents required to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of part I of the Polar Code by ships that trade in Arctic waters, in order to establish the associated administrative burden:

- .3 MSC 94/3/13 (United States), proposing text related to certification for ships operating in ice-free waters, not in low air temperatures, on a single voyage for inclusion in chapter 1 of part I-A of the draft Polar Code; and
- .4 MSC 94/3/15 (China and Republic of Korea), proposing amendments related to certification for existing ships engaged on a single voyage in polar waters and that a short-term Polar Ship Certificate be permitted to be issued by the Administration, in order to reduce the certification burden imposed on the Administrations.
- 3.31 Having noted that MEPC 67 had agreed that no further amendments were necessary under part II of the draft Polar Code to address the administrative burdens, the Committee, following an in-depth discussion, agreed that there should not be exemptions from the requirements of the Code for occasional voyages; however, there were occasions when certification could be issued without a survey. In this connection, the Committee instructed the working group to determine under which circumstances certification may be issued without a survey, taking into account documents MSC 94/3/9, MSC 94/3/11, MSC 94/3/13 and MSC 94/3/15.

Operational assessment required by chapter 1

3.32 Having considered document MSC 94/3/12 (Norway and United States), proposing guidance for inclusion in part I-B of the draft Polar Code related to the operational assessment required by chapter 1, in order to provide clarity for ship operators and Administrations on the purpose and consistent implementation of the operational assessment, the Committee agreed to refer the above document to the working group for further consideration.

Hull, subdivision and stability

- 3.33 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/3/14 (Canada, Norway, United States and Vanuatu), commenting on the stability and subdivision requirements in chapter 4 of the draft Code, and proposing various revisions in an effort to improve and clarify the draft regulation text, as well as a new definition for "upper ice waterline" based on the definition from the IACS UR concerning Polar Class; and
 - .2 MSC 94/3/17 (FOEI, Pacific Environment and CSC), expressing concerns that the current drafting of the Code could lead to different interpretations of ice-strengthening standards for category C ships and may not offer appropriate levels of safety for polar waters. Furthermore, the burden of proof should be reversed for the damage stability requirements, with all ships required to meet the damage stability provisions, unless exempted due to the intended area of operation.
- 3.34 In considering the above documents, the Committee agreed to refer document MSC 94/3/14 to the working group for detailed consideration. With regard to document MSC 94/3/17, the Committee agreed that it should be considered during the second phase of the work (i.e. non-SOLAS ships) and, therefore, decided not to take action at this stage.
- 3.35 In light of the above, the Committee noted the statement by the observer from IFSMA that it was content that the provisions for ships designed, built, operated and manned for service in polar waters are adequate. However, the observer had considerable concern

for other ships that enter these waters as, in its opinion, the development of the Polar Code has achieved very little in terms of improving safety in such a hostile environment (i.e. the inadequacy of life-saving appliances on cruise ships, including the absence of a lifeboat seat for every person), placing a great burden on shipmasters, who would be held responsible when an incident occurs.

Manning and training matters

- 3.36 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/3/4 (United States), proposing to include a provision in part I-A of the draft Polar Code to allow for the use of "person(s) other than the master, chief mate or officers of the navigational watch" to satisfy the risk-based training requirements in chapter 12 (Manning and training familiarity), and inviting the Committee to instruct HTW 2 to consider transitional arrangements associated with the training requirements in support of the Polar Code. In addition to the transitional provisions to be included in the STCW Convention and Code, the HTW Sub-Committee should also develop an MSC circular addressing the implementation of the guidance in part B of the STCW Code;
 - .2 MSC 94/3/10 (Canada and Marshall Islands), discussing the likely impact of the requirements for officers' certification on the availability of ships making occasional voyages to the Arctic, which could negatively affect Arctic communities, and proposing options for mitigating this impact while meeting the requirements for trained and certificated personnel for ships operating in polar waters; and
 - MSC 94/3/20 (FOEI, WWF and Pacific Environment), commenting on the fact that environmental NGOs are of the view that voyage planning provisions pertaining to marine mammals in the draft Polar Code are a positive step, but that greater attention should be focused on other wildlife, specifically marine birds, which could be harmed by increased shipping activity in polar waters; and proposing that recommendatory language on voyage planning, related to marine bird congregations, be inserted into part I-B of the draft Code.
- 3.37 Following an in-depth discussion, the Committee, having noted that there were different views regarding manning and training matters, agreed to refer documents MSC 94/3/4 and MSC 94/3/10 to the working group for further consideration. With regard to the proposals contained in document MSC 94/3/20, the Committee agreed that the appropriate body to deal with this document was the MEPC and invited the co-sponsors to submit a relevant document to MEPC 68.
- 3.38 In light of the above decision regarding document MSC 94/3/20, the Committee noted the statement by the observer from FOEI, on behalf of the co-sponsors, that they remained concerned that measures to provide appropriate protection for large congregations of seabirds from shipping activities were not fully addressed in part II (Pollution prevention measures) of the Code, but required measures relevant to part I on voyage planning.
- 3.39 In addition, the Committee noted the statement by the delegation of Germany that it supported the outcome of HTW 1 (HTW 1/21, paragraph 11.17 and annex 3) on manning and training matters and did not agree to lower training requirements.

Definitions in chapter 1 of part I-A

3.40 Having considered the relevant part of document MSC 94/3/23 (Russian Federation) (paragraphs 1 to 7 and 9.1), proposing modifications to the definition of "icebreaker" and the insertion of a new definition (icebreaking capability), in order to clarify the existing definition, which determine an icebreaker as any ship having higher ice performance and able to render escort or other forms of assistance to a ship with lower ice class. The Committee, having noted that the definitions were considered in detail by the working group at MSC 93 and the term "icebreaking capability" is not used in the Code, did not agree to the aforementioned proposals.

Outcome of NCSR 1

- 3.41 The Committee considered the outcome of NCSR 1 on matters related to the Polar Code (MSC 94/9, paragraphs 2.20 to 2.24), together with document MSC 94/3/16 (United States), proposing revisions to chapter 9 (Safety of navigation) prepared by NCSR 1 to provide consistency with other chapters of the draft Code, and, in particular:
 - .1 approved the draft revised text for the renumbered chapters 9 (safety of navigation) and 10 (communication) of the draft Polar Code (NCSR 1/28, paragraphs 23.11 and 23.17 and annex 18) and instructed the working group to include the revised draft chapters in the Code, taking into account document MSC 94/3/16;
 - .2 approved the deletion of the renumbered paragraph 11.4 (reporting) of renumbered chapter 11 of the draft Polar Code (NCSR 1/28, paragraph 23.18);
 - .3 approved the draft revisions to sections 2.2 (navigation equipment) and 2.3 (communication equipment) of the Record of additional equipment and operational limitations for the Polar Ship Certificate (NCSR 1/28, paragraphs 23.12 and 23.19 and annex 19);
 - .4 endorsed NCSR 1's view that the additional guidance in part I-B of the draft Polar Code regarding personal and group survival equipment was sufficient (NCSR 1/28, paragraph 23.14); and
 - .5 approved the draft additional guidance on the renumbered chapters 9 and 10 for possible inclusion in part I-B of the draft Polar Code (NCSR 1/28, paragraphs 23.15 and 23.21 and annex 20).

Establishment of a Joint Working Group on the Polar and IGF Codes

- 3.42 Having considered the outstanding matters related the draft Polar Code, the Committee established a Joint Working Group on the Polar and IGF Codes (see also paragraph 11.53) and instructed it, taking into account the comments and decisions made in plenary, and the documents submitted under this item on matters related to the Polar Code, except for documents MSC 94/3/17, MSC 94/3/20 and MSC 94/3/23 (paragraphs 1 to 7 and 9.1 only), to:
 - .1 finalize the draft new SOLAS chapter XIV, and the associated MSC resolution based on annex 2 to document MSC 94/3 and annex 2 to documents MSC 94/WP.5 and Add.1;

- .2 determine under which circumstances certification may be issued without a survey;
- .3 finalize the draft International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code), and the associated MSC resolution, based on the annex to document MSC 94/3/1 and annex 1 to document MSC 94/WP.5/Add.1, as further modified by NCSR 1 (NCSR 1/28, annexes 18 to 20); and
- .4 prepare terms of reference for a correspondence group to finalize guidance on a system for determining limitations for operation in ice, based on documents MSC 94/3/7 and MSC 94/INF.13.

NON-MANDATORY INSTRUMENTS

Proposed consequential amendments to the MODU Codes

- 3.43 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 approved the draft new SOLAS regulation XI-1/7 relating to the carriage requirements for portable atmosphere-testing instruments for enclosed spaces and also decided to approve, in principle, draft consequential amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units (1979, 1989 and 2009 MODU Codes).
- 3.44 Having noted that no comments on the proposed amendments had been received (MSC 94/3/2) on the proposed amendments, the Committee confirmed their contents, subject to editorial improvements, if any.
- 3.45 The Committee agreed that the above amendments to the MODU Codes proposed for adoption at the current session should be deemed to take effect on 1 July 2016.

Draft MSC circular on Early implementation of regulation XI-1/7

- 3.46 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 had approved, in principle, the draft MSC circular on *Early implementation of regulation XI-1/7*, bearing in mind that the new draft SOLAS regulation XI-1/7 would only be entering into force on 1 July 2016.
- 3.47 In considering whether the provisions of regulation XI-1/7 would be applicable to high-speed craft, the Chairman of the CCC Sub-Committee advised the Committee that the provisions of the circular are intended to apply to all ships, including high-speed craft.
- 3.48 The Committee noted that no comments on the draft MSC circular had been received (MSC 94/3/3) and confirmed its contents, subject to editorial improvements, if any.

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DRAFTING GROUP ON CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO MANDATORY INSTRUMENTS

- 3.49 Following discussion, the Committee established the Drafting Group on Consideration and Adoption of Amendments to Mandatory Instruments and instructed it, taking into account decisions taken in plenary, to prepare, for consideration by the Committee with a view to adoption or approval, as appropriate:
 - .1 the final text of the draft amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention, as amended, and the 2011 ESP Code, together with the associated draft MSC resolutions, based on annexes 1 and 3 to document MSC 94/3;

- .2 the final text of the draft consequential amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units (1979, 1989 and 2009 MODU Codes) together with the associated MSC resolutions, based on annexes to document MSC 94/3/2; and
- .3 the final text of the draft MSC circular on *Early implementation of SOLAS* regulation XI-1/7, based on document MSC 94/3/3.

REPORT OF THE DRAFTING GROUP ON CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO MANDATORY INSTRUMENTS

3.50 Having considered the report of the Drafting Group on Consideration and Adoption of Amendments to Mandatory Instruments (MSC 94/WP.6), the Committee approved it in general and took action as indicated in paragraphs 3.51 to 3.57.

Adoption of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention, as amended, including related mandatory codes

Adoption of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention

- 3.51 The expanded Committee, including the delegations of 101 Contracting Governments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention, considered the final text of the proposed amendments to the Convention, prepared by the drafting group (MSC 94/WP.6, annex 1), and adopted the amendments unanimously by resolution MSC.380(94), as set out in annex 1.
- 3.52 In adopting resolution MSC.380(94), the expanded Committee determined, in accordance with article VIII(b)(vi)(2)(bb) of the 1974 SOLAS Convention, that the adopted amendments to the Convention should be deemed to have been accepted on 1 January 2016 (unless, prior to that date, objections are communicated to the Secretary-General, as provided for in article VIII(b)(vi)(2) of the Convention) and should enter into force on 1 July 2016, in accordance with the provisions of article VIII thereof.

Adoption of amendments to the 2011 ESP Code

- 3.53 The expanded Committee considered the proposed amendments to the 2011 ESP Code, as prepared by the drafting group (MSC 94/WP.6, annex 2); and adopted the amendments unanimously by resolutions MSC.381(94), as set out in annex 2. In this connection, the Committee noted with appreciation the offer by IACS to harmonize the use of the terms related to recognized organizations throughout the Code.
- 3.54 In adopting resolution MSC.381(94), the expanded Committee determined, in accordance with article VIII(b)(vi)(2)(bb) of the 1974 SOLAS Convention, that the adopted amendments to the 2011 ESP Code should be deemed to have been accepted on 1 January 2016 (unless, prior to that date, objections are communicated to the Secretary-General, as provided for in article VIII(b)(vi)(2) of the Convention) and should enter into force on 1 July 2016, in accordance with the provisions of SOLAS article VIII.

Non-mandatory instruments

3.55 The Committee considered the final text of amendments to non-mandatory instruments, including related draft MSC resolutions and circulars, prepared by the drafting group (MSC 94/WP.6, annexes 3 to 5).

- 3.56 After consideration, the Committee, having agreed that gender neutral terms should be used in future revisions of the MODU Codes, adopted:
 - .1 amendments to the 1979 MODU Code, by resolution MSC.382(94), as set out in annex 3;
 - .2 amendments to the 1989 MODU Code, by resolution MSC.383(94), as set out in annex 4; and
 - .3 amendments to the 2009 MODU Code, by resolution MSC.384(94), as set out in annex 5.
- 3.57 The Committee also approved MSC.1/Circ.1485 on *Early implementation of SOLAS regulation XI-7 on atmosphere testing instrument for enclosed spaces.*

REPORT OF THE JOINT WORKING GROUP ON POLAR AND IGF CODES

3.58 Having considered part 1 of the report of the Joint Working Group on Polar and IGF Codes (MSC 94/WP.7) (see paragraph 3.42 above), the Committee approved it in general and took action as indicated hereunder.

International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code)

- 3.59 In considering the final text of the Introduction and parts I-A and I-B of the International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code), the Committee:
 - .1 requested the Secretariat to update figure 2 (Maximum extent of Arctic waters application), contained in the Introduction of the Code, to accurately illustrate the Polar Code boundary; and
 - .2 instructed the III Sub-Committee to consider survey and certification matters, under the provision of the Polar Code, in its next review of the HSSC Guidelines, prior to the entry into force of the new SOLAS chapter XIV.

Training requirements for officers and crew on board ships operating in polar waters

3.60 In order to provide clarification on the status of the STCW Convention and Code related to the Polar Code, the Committee noted that HTW 1 (HTW 1/21, paragraph 11.16) had noted the progress made in the preparation of draft amendments to the STCW Convention and part A of the STCW Code relating to training requirements for officers and crew on board ships operating in polar waters (HTW 1/WP.4/Rev.1, annex 1) and had invited Member Governments and international organizations to submit comments and proposals to HTW 2.

Adoption of a new mandatory instrument

Adoption of the International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code)

3.61 The expanded Committee considered the final text prepared by the working group (MSC 94/WP.7, annex 2) and adopted the Introduction and parts I-A and I-B of the International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code), by resolution MSC.385(94), as set out in annex 6. In this connection, the observer from IACS made a statement, which is set out in annex 29.

Establishment of a correspondence group

- 3.62 In regard to matters related to operational limitations, the Committee established the Correspondence Group on Operational Limitations in Ice, under the coordination of Norway*, and instructed it to:
 - .1 prepare draft guidance on a methodology for determining limitations for operation in ice, and the associated draft MSC circular, for structural risk assessment and inclusion in the ship's documentation, based on documents MSC 94/3/7 and MSC 94/INF.13, taking into account documents MSC 94/3/18, MSC 94/3/19, MSC 94/3/21, MSC 94/3/22, MSC 94/3/23 and MSC 94/WP.7, annex 3;
 - .2 exchange information on experience with operations in ice for the purpose of validating guidance for operation in ice; and
 - .3 submit a report to MSC 95,

for consideration under agenda item 3 (Consideration and adoption of amendments to mandatory instruments).

New SOLAS chapter XIV

Regulation 1 – Definitions

3.63 Having considered the proposed modifications to the definitions of the terms "Polar Code" and "Arctic waters" (draft new regulations XIV/1.1 and XIV/1.3, respectively) prepared by the working group, the Committee approved the proposed modifications to the aforementioned definitions and requested the Secretariat to inform MEPC 68 of the modifications to the definitions of the Polar Code and Arctic waters for harmonization purposes. In this connection, the observer from IACS made a statement, which is set out in annex 29.

Adoption of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention

- 3.64 The expanded Committee, including the delegations of 101 Contracting Governments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention, considered the final text of the proposed amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention to make the safety-related provisions of the Polar Code mandatory (see paragraph 3.61), as prepared by the working group (MSC 94/WP.7, annex 1), and adopted the amendments unanimously by resolution MSC.386(94), as set out in annex 7.
- 3.65 In adopting resolution MSC.386(94), the expanded Committee determined, in accordance with article VIII(b)(vi)(2)(bb) of the 1974 SOLAS Convention, that the adopted new chapter XIV of the SOLAS Convention should be deemed to have been accepted on 1 July 2016 (unless, prior to that date, objections are communicated to the Secretary-General, as provided for in article VIII(b)(vi)(2) of the Convention) and should enter into force on 1 January 2017, in accordance with the provisions of article VIII thereof.

* Coordinator:

Ms. Turid Stemre
Senior Adviser
International environment, safety and security
Norwegian Maritime Authority
P.O. Box 2222
N. 5500 Housesund, Norwey

N-5509 Haugesund, Norway Tel.: + 47 52 74 51 51

Email: Turid.Stemre@sjofartsdir.no

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE SECRETARIAT

- 3.66 The Committee authorized the Secretariat, when preparing the authentic texts of the amendments adopted at this session, to make any editorial corrections that may be identified, including updating references to renumbered paragraphs, and to bring to the attention of the Committee any errors or omissions which require action by the Contracting Governments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention.
- 3.67 The Committee further requested the Secretariat to ensure that the final text of the amendments contained in the annexes of the Committee's report are presented as clean text (i.e. without track changes).

4 MEASURES TO ENHANCE MARITIME SECURITY

Guidelines for the development of national maritime security legislation

4.1 In considering the correspondence group report on *Guidelines for the Development of National Maritime Security Legislation*, as set out in document MSC 94/4 (United States), the Committee recognized that further work was needed in order to finalize the guidelines and to accommodate the concerns and queries expressed in document MSC 94/4/2 (China) and that such work required the re-establishment of the correspondence group.

Re-establishment of the correspondence group

- 4.2 Consequently, the Committee re-established the Correspondence Group on Guidance on Development of National Maritime Security Legislation, under the coordination of the United States*, and instructed it, taking into account the comments and proposals in document MSC 94/4/2, to:
 - .1 review and finalize the draft Guidance on Development of National Maritime Security Legislation; and
 - .2 submit a report to MSC 95.

Measures toward enhancing maritime cybersecurity

- 4.3 The Committee was advised that FAL 39 had considered document FAL 39/7 (Canada), proposing the development of guidelines on maritime cybersecurity on FAL-related issues.
- 4.4 The Committee considered document MSC 94/4/1 (Canada and United States), proposing to develop guidelines on cybersecurity enhancing cooperation between cybersecurity specialists and managers in order to protect ships, ports and maritime installations and to provide high-level information regarding potential cyber vulnerabilities.

Primary Coordinator:

Mr. L. Stephen Cox, Esq. United States Coast Guard International Port Security Program 431 Crawford Street, Room 108 Portsmouth, Virginia 23704 United States of America

Tel: +1 757 398 6651 Mob: +1 757 544 6190 Email: Larry.s.cox@uscg.mil

- 4.5 The observer from BIMCO advised the Committee that BIMCO had been working with partners on guidance for shipowners and ships' crews on operational aspects of cybersecurity, and in particular the vulnerability of ships' computerized equipment to unauthorized access due to outdated software. As this work is ongoing, BIMCO advised that it intended to provide an update to the next session of the Committee.
- 4.6 The Committee, bearing in mind the work of the FAL Committee in this respect, agreed that any work carried out by the Committee on this issue should be coordinated with the FAL Committee.
- 4.7 The Committee agreed that cybersecurity was an important and timely issue but that the Organization should not take unilateral action on this matter without consultation with other United Nations' bodies and relevant international organizations such as the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and, therefore, invited Member Governments and international organizations to consider the issue and submit proposals to MSC 95.

Security-related outcome of the thirty-ninth session of the Facilitation Committee

- 4.8 The Committee noted the outcome of the discussion of FAL 39, which concluded that in the majority of cases the occurrence of stowaways and attempted stowaways on board ships was due to a lack of proper implementation of IMO's maritime security measures, as contained in SOLAS chapter XI-2 and the ISPS Code.
- 4.9 The Committee noted further that the matter had been addressed during the comprehensive revision process of the FAL Convention, which now includes, inter alia, amendments to section 4 (Stowaways) and relevant security-related aspects, which were also the subject of two seminars on the prevention of stowaway cases this year conducted by the Secretariat under IMO's Integrated Technical Cooperation Programme.

United Nations Security Council resolution 2182 (2014)

4.10 The Committee noted that on 24 October 2014 the United Nations Security Council had adopted resolution 2182 (2014) on the situation in Somalia and Eritrea, the main scope of which was to highlight the need to prevent unauthorized deliveries of weapons and military equipment to Somalia and to prevent the direct or indirect export of charcoal from Somalia, some provisions of which may have impact upon the shipping industry, specifically operative paragraph 10 in relation to weapons on board vessels engaged in commercial activity in Somali ports, and operative paragraphs 11 to 22 referring to the maritime interdiction of charcoal and arms. Particular attention was drawn to operative paragraphs 15 and 16 as they have implications for flag States in respect of inspections of merchant ships by Member States, acting nationally or through voluntary multinational naval partnerships. Links to the resolution are provided on the United Nations website (www.un.org) and maritime security pages of the IMO website (www.imo.org).

5 GOAL-BASED NEW SHIP CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS

General

5.1 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 noted progress on the implementation of GBS verification audits, in particular, that 13 recognized organizations (ROs) had submitted requests for GBS verification audits by the end of 2013.

5.2 The Committee further recalled that, with regard to the development of *Interim guidelines for the safety-level approach (SLA) to the IMO rule-making process*, MSC 93, having considered document MSC 93/5/1 (Germany) in relation to the development of the GBS guideline on LSA, agreed that the relevant outcome of SSE 1 should be considered by the GBS Working Group at a future session, taking into account the mapping of the relationship between functional requirements and the regulations of SOLAS chapter III and the LSA Code, as proposed by Germany.

Implementation of the GBS verification audits

- 5.3 The Committee noted document MSC 94/5 (Secretariat), providing progress on the implementation of GBS verification audits, in particular that five audit teams had been established and the verification audits on the 13 ROs had started from the end of July 2014. Regarding the possibility to form a sixth audit team to combine reports of teams 1 to 5 mentioned in the document (paragraph 7), the Secretariat proposed that, based on the experience gained to date with the GBS verification audits, the current teams 1 to 5 were sufficient (i.e. team 6 will not be established) to finalize the audit reports for submission to MSC 96. Subsequently, the Committee endorsed the above arrangements related to the GBS verification audits.
- 5.4 In this connection, the Committee noted the statement by the observer from CESA related to the Ship Construction File required by SOLAS regulation II-1/3-10, the text of which is set out in annex 29.

Safety-level approach (SLA)

- 5.5 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/5/1 (China), providing specific suggestions on the development of *Interim guidelines for the safety-level approach (SLA) to the IMO rule-making process*, based on the Elements for consideration in the development of Interim guidelines (MSC 92/WP.9, annex 2), adding new sections for purpose, principles and procedures to clarify the guidelines; and
 - .2 MSC 94/5/2 (Germany), proposing information on the further development of Goal-based Standards Safety-level Approach (GBS-SLA), in particular on the development of functional requirements (tier II), and annexing an example of functional requirement for GBS-SLA with three aspects (Description, Rationale and Expected performance), based on the function map, discussed at SSE 1. It also proposed to amend *Generic guidelines for developing IMO goal-based standards* (MSC.1/Circ.1394) by adding a section that specifies the degree of detail to be considered on a functional requirement and the elements to be considered when specifying functional requirements.
- 5.6 In considering the above documents, the Committee, having noted the following views expressed during the discussion:
 - .1 that both documents should be forwarded to the working group for further discussion:
 - .2 while SLA would be used in tier 1 (goal) and tier 2 (functional requirements), it may not be suitable for tier 4 (specific rules and regulations); and

.3 while amending MSC.1/Circ.1394 was generally supported, a concern was raised that the correspondence group established by SSE 1 has almost completed its work based on MSC.1/Circ.1394,

decided to forward the above documents to the Joint Working Group on Goal-based Standards and Formal Safety Assessment for detailed consideration.

Establishment of the joint Working Group on Goal-based Standards and Formal Safety Assessment (GBS/FSA Working Group)

- 5.7 Having considered the above matters, the Committee established a Joint Working Group on Goal-based Standards and Formal Safety Assessment (GBS/FSA Working Group) and instructed it, taking into account documents MSC 94/5/1 and MSC 94/5/2, together with relevant outcome of SSE 1 and the comments made in plenary, to:
 - .1 further consider the "Elements for consideration in the development of *Interim* guidelines for the safety level approach (SLA) to the IMO rule-making process" (MSC 92/WP.9, annex 2), taking into account document MSC 94/5/1; and
 - .2 consider the proposals contained in document MSC 94/5/2, in relation to the development of the Goal-based Standards Safety-level Approach (GBS-SLA), including possible amendments to MSC.1/Circ.1394, and advise the Committee accordingly.

Report of the GBS/FSA Working Group

5.8 Having considered the part of the report of the working group (MSC 94/WP.8) dealing with this agenda item, the Committee approved it in general and took action as described hereunder.

Development of Interim guidelines for the Safety-level Approach (SLA) to the IMO rule-making process

- 5.9 The Committee, having noted the progress on the draft Interim guidelines for the Application of the Goal-based Standards Safety-level Approach to the IMO rule-making process, agreed to keep all valuable information from document MSC 92/WP.9 (annex 2) (e.g. the figure "Proposed hierarchy of SLA IMO instruments" and the "SLA exercise"), for the further development of the draft Interim guidelines.
- 5.10 In this regard, the delegation of South Africa expressed the view that there is an urgent need for simplifying the process of GBS-SLA, to ensure a broader understanding on application of the GBS-SLA to the IMO rule-making process and the need for greater participation of Member Governments.
- 5.11 Subsequently, the Committee invited Member Governments and international organizations to submit comments and proposals on the draft Interim guidelines to MSC 95.

Generic guidelines for developing IMO Goal-based Standards (MSC.1/Circ.1394)

5.12 The Committee noted that the group agreed that the *Generic guidelines for developing IMO Goal-based standards* (MSC.1/Circ.1394) need to be amended, possibly by adding an annex with the specification for functional requirements, which provides the degree of detail to be considered by a functional requirement and the elements to be considered.

5.13 Subsequently, the Committee invited Member Governments and international organizations to submit comments and concrete proposals on the amendments to MSC.1/Circ.1394 to MSC 95.

Roadmap on GBS Safety-level Approach

- 5.14 The Committee endorsed the group's view that the draft Interim guidelines and the amendments to the Generic guidelines (MSC.1/Circ.1394) should be completed as the first step.
- 5.15 The Committee noted the group's discussion regarding the linkage between the draft Interim guidelines and the Generic guidelines and that the group recognized the merit to integrate the two documents, when further developing the guidelines in the future. Other delegations expressed the view that it was premature to consider such an integration before finalizing the Interim guidelines. In this context, the delegation of Germany made a statement on the need to carry out the SLA Exercise agreed at MSC 90 in order identify gaps. The full text of the statement made by the delegation of Germany is set out in annex 29.

Relationship between the GBS WG and work of sub-committees using GBS framework

5.16 The Committee noted that the group recognized concerns over possible discrepancies between different instruments using the GBS framework, and the need for harmonization among those instruments, which should be undertaken by experts on GBS/SLA. In this context, the Committee instructed SSE 2 to report the outcome of its work on the development of the draft Goal-based guidelines on the framework of requirements for ships' life-saving appliances to MSC 95.

6 PASSENGER SHIP SAFETY

Background

The Committee recalled that, since the capsizing of the passenger ship 6.1 Costa Concordia, MSC 90, MSC 91, MSC 92 and MSC 93 had established a Working Group on Passenger Ship Safety. As a result of this work, the Committee had adopted amendments to SOLAS chapter III, adopted Measures aimed at enhancing safety of passenger ships (resolution MSC.336(90)), approved Recommended interim measures for passenger ship companies to enhance the safety passenger ships of (MSC.1/Circ.1446/Rev.2) and approved the Revised long-term action plan on passenger ship safety (MSC 93/WP.6/Rev.1, annex 3).

Outcome of III 1 – analysis of the marine safety investigation report

- 6.2 In considering the outcome of III 1 (MSC 94/6) relating to the analysis of the marine safety investigation report on the grounding and loss of the passenger ship **Costa Concordia** along with the contributing factors, issues raised/lessons learned and observations on the human element, the Committee noted the following views expressed during the discussion:
 - that III 1 had not completed an in-depth analysis of the casualty investigation report on the **Costa Concordia** and, as such, no new items should be included in the Revised Action plan for long-term work on passenger ship Safety (long-term action plan);

- .2 that several items which were suggested for inclusion in table 1 of the long-term action plan had already been discussed in the Working Group on Passenger Ship Safety at earlier sessions of the Committee and did not merit further consideration:
- .3 that III 1 had taken into account that the items, which were potential issues for inclusion in table 1 of the long-term action plan, were subject to provision of full justification in accordance with the Committees' guidelines; and
- .4 that the Secretariat had identified four items which were already included in the long-term action plan and four other items which could be included in table 1.
- 6.3 Taking into account the above views, the Committee agreed to add, in square brackets, in table 1 of the long-term action plan those items brought forward by III 1, which had not already been included in the plan, for consideration by the Committee at its next session (see also paragraphs 6.15 and 6.16).

Computerized stability support for the master in case of flooding

- 6.4 The Committee recalled that MSC 92 had considered computerized stability support for the master in case of flooding and included the matter of onboard stability computer support or shore-based support for existing passenger ships in the long-term action plan.
- 6.5 The Bahamas, et al. (MSC 94/6/1), following the discussion at MSC 92, proposed to extend, to existing passenger ships, the SOLAS requirement relating to computerized stability support for the master in case of flooding.
- 6.6 In this context, the Committee noted that, while this proposal was for a new unplanned output and had been submitted within the deadline for unplanned outputs, the proponents considered it desirable to discuss this under this agenda item.
- 6.7 In considering document MSC 94/6/1, the Committee noted the following views expressed during the discussion:
 - .1 that the compelling need and other essential information had been provided to approve this item as a new unplanned output;
 - .2 that the SDC Sub-Committee was already considering an output which is closely related to this proposal and work on the existing output was not progressing due to the lack of submissions; and
 - .3 that it would be beneficial to consider this proposal in conjunction with the aforementioned existing output.
- 6.8 Having noted that the deadline for submissions to SDC 2 would not allow for the preparation of high-quality submissions, the Committee agreed to include a new output in its post-biennial agenda, assigning the SDC Sub-Committee as the coordinating organ (see paragraphs 18.20 and 18.29), and in the long-term action plan.

Plans for cooperation between SAR services and passenger ships

- 6.9 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 had considered the matter of the availability of required updated plans for cooperation between SAR services and passenger ships and had invited Member Governments and international organizations to submit relevant information to this session, in order to identify particular issues in the communication of SAR Cooperation Plans between the stakeholders involved.
- 6.10 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/6/2 (United States), providing an assessment of SAR cooperation plans on board passenger ships calling at United States ports, in particular the content of the plans, frequency of updates and comparisons of revision dates between the ship and SAR data provider; and
 - .2 MSC 94/6/4 (Finland, et al.), providing information regarding ongoing efforts amongst the parties involved with providing and maintaining SAR plans for cooperation to improve their effectiveness where necessary, and suggesting that a comprehensive review of the current guidelines, as contained in MSC.1/Circ.1079, was needed.
- 6.11 After some discussion and having noted general support for the review of MSC.1/Circ.1079 and that the revision of the guidelines contained in MSC.1/Circ.1079 would require a proposal for a new unplanned output, the Committee invited the co-sponsors of document MSC 94/6/4 to submit a proposal for a new unplanned output in accordance with the Committees' guidelines.

Maintenance of watertight doors

- 6.12 The Committee considered document MSC 94/6/3 (Italy), provided an analysis on the maintenance of watertight doors resulting from an assessment of the current requirements, both international and national, applicable to passenger ships and suggesting to include the matter of "watertight doors maintenance" in table 1 of the long-term action plan on passenger ship safety, with a view to developing an amendment to SOLAS regulation II-1/21 and technical guidelines. Furthermore, in Italy's view, although the long-term action plan was on passenger ships safety, the matter could be considered equally applicable to cargo ships and that this aspect would need to be considered in future.
- 6.13 The Committee, having noted the following views were expressed on the matter:
 - .1 that the maintenance of watertight doors was already covered by the ISM Code and that there was no need to consider this proposal further; and
 - .2 that there was no direct link with the casualty investigation report of the **Costa Concordia** and justification was needed to take this item forward,

agreed to add the a new item on "watertight doors maintenance" to table 1 of the long-term action plan, with the understanding that this would not prejudice the outcome of consideration of any further proposal for a new unplanned output on this matter.

Status and purpose of the long-term action plan on passenger ship safety

- 6.14 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 endorsed the Passenger Ship Working Group's view that the list of potential issues on the long-term action plan should be finalized at MSC 94 and encouraged Member Governments to submit proposals with justifications for unplanned outputs for the items detailed in table 1. MSC 93 had also agreed that those potential issues for which full justification is not submitted by MSC 96 (May/June 2016) should be deleted from table 1.
- 6.15 Subsequently, the Committee instructed the Secretariat to update the long-term action plan on the basis of the outcome at this session and re-issue the plan to MSC 95, as soon as possible after this session so that delegations might comment on the revised plan.

Recommended interim measures

6.16 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 agreed to keep the *Recommended Interim measures for passenger ship companies to enhance the safety of passenger ships* (MSC.1/Circ.1446/Rev.2) updated as matters progressed and agreed to delete the word "interim" when next revising the circular. In this regard, the Committee agreed that there was no need to update the circular at this session of the Committee.

7 HUMAN ELEMENT, TRAINING AND WATCHKEEPING

REPORT OF THE FIRST SESSION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE

General

7.1 The Committee approved, in general, the report of the first session of the Sub-Committee on Human Element, Training and Watchkeeping (HTW) (HTW 1/21 and MSC 94/7) and took action as indicated hereunder, recalling that MSC 93 had already taken action on urgent matters emanating from HTW 1 (MSC 93/22, section 11).

Use of GISIS for reporting information

7.2 The Committee concurred with the Sub-Committee's recommendation on the use of GISIS for reporting information as an efficient tool to fulfil their obligations as Contracting Governments to the STCW Convention and Code.

Amendments to the STCW Convention and part A and part B of the STCW Code related to the IGF Code

- 7.3 The Committee considered the draft amendments to the STCW Convention and part A and part B of the STCW Code related to the IGF Code, together with document MSC 94/7/2 (Spain), proposing an amendment to draft regulation V/3 and section A-V/3 (mandatory minimum requirements for the training and qualifications of masters, officers, ratings and other personnel serving on board ships subject to the IGF Code) of the STCW Convention and Code, in particular, the recognition of training of crews meeting the requirements for liquefied gas tankers.
- 7.4 In the ensuing discussion, the Committee noted the following views:
 - that the training requirements for liquefied gas tankers had been thoroughly discussed at HTW 1 and that the amendments to the STCW Convention and Code as prepared by HTW 1 should be accepted;

- .2 that the draft amendments provide a robust mechanism for transfer of mariners' competence from the IGF Code to the IGC Code;
- .3 that the proposals in document MSC 94/7/2 unnecessarily limit the experience of seafarers and impact on a safety culture;
- .4 that requirements for familiarization training were covered by STCW Convention regulation I/14; and
- .5 that the proposals in document MSC 94/7/2 had merit and should be accepted.
- 7.5 Taking into account the above views and having noted that there was insufficient support for the proposals in document MSC 94/7/2, the Committee approved the draft amendments to the STCW Convention, as set out in annex 8, and part A and part B of the STCW Code, as set out in annexes 9 and 10, related to the IGF Code and requested the Secretary-General to circulate them in accordance with article XII of the STCW Convention, with a view to adoption by MSC 95.

Interim guidance on training for seafarers on board ships using gases or other low-flashpoint fuels

7.6 The Committee approved, with minor amendments, STCW.7/Circ.23 on *Interim* guidance on training for seafarers on board ships using gases or other low-flashpoint fuels.

SECRETARY-GENERAL'S REPORT PURSUANT TO STCW REGULATION I/7, PARAGRAPH 2

7.7 The Committee noted that no reports pursuant to STCW regulation I/7, paragraph 2 had been submitted to this session for consideration.

SECRETARY-GENERAL'S REPORT PURSUANT TO STCW REGULATION I/8

- 7.8 In introducing the report (MSC 94/WP.3), the Director of the Maritime Safety Division, on behalf of the Secretary-General, advised the Committee that, in preparing the reports required by STCW regulation I/8, paragraph 2, the Secretary-General had solicited and taken into account the views of the competent persons selected from the list established pursuant to paragraph 7 of section A-I/7 of the STCW Code and circulated as MSC.1/Circ.797. The reports, as required by MSC.1/Circ.1449, are comprised of:
 - .1 the Secretary-General's report to the Committee;
 - .2 a description of the procedures followed; and
 - .3 a summary of the conclusions reached in the form of a comparison table.
- 7.9 Having considered the Secretary-General's reports attached to document MSC 94/WP.3, the Committee confirmed that the procedures for the assessment of information provided had been correctly followed in respect of three STCW Parties, and requested the Secretariat to issue an updated circular as MSC.1/Circ.1164/Rev.14.
- 7.10 The delegation of the Cook Islands noted that at many meetings of the Committee, STCW Parties had been found to be giving full and complete effect to the provisions of regulations I/7 and I/8 of the STCW Convention, and expressed its concern that such STCW Parties did not automatically recognize certificates, under regulation I/10, issued by other Parties also found to be giving the Convention full and complete effect. In its opinion, this added to their administrative burden when contacting other Parties for recognition, and in

order to keep the system's credibility, the Committee should revisit the provisions of, or dispense with, regulation I/10.

APPROVAL OF COMPETENT PERSONS

7.11 The Committee approved additional competent persons nominated by Governments (MSC 94/7/1 and Add. 1), and requested the Secretariat to issue an updated circular as MSC/Circ.797/Rev.26.

Seafarer Fatigue, Minimum Manning and the Mitigation of Fatigue

7.12 The Committee noted with appreciation the information contained in document MSC 94/INF.6 (InterManager, ITF and the Nautical Institute).

Comprehensive review of the STCW-F Convention

7.13 The delegation of Japan, supported by a number of delegations, referring to its statement at HTW 1 on the necessity of a comprehensive review of the STCW-F Convention as almost 20 years had elapsed since its adoption of the STCW-F Convention, reiterated the need for a comprehensive review similar to that done for the STCW Convention and the Torremolinos Protocol, in order to solve the problems that constrained many countries from becoming Parties to the Convention. Furthermore, Japan informed the Committee that it would submit, along with other possible co-sponsors, a proposal for an unplanned output for a comprehensive review of the STCW-F Convention to MSC 95.

8 SHIP SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT

REPORT OF THE FIRST SESSION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE

8.1 The Committee approved, in general, the report of the first session of the Sub-Committee on Ship Systems and Equipment (SSE) (SSE 1/21 and MSC 94/8) and took action as indicated in paragraphs 8.2 to 8.15, recalling that MSC 93 had already taken action on urgent matters emanating from SSE 1 (MSC 93/22, section 12).

Draft amendments to SOLAS regulation II-2/20

- 8.2 The Committee considered the proposed draft amendments to SOLAS regulation II-2/20.3, together with document MSC 94/8/2 (IACS), providing comments on the draft amendments with respect to the air quality management system provisions and proposing a modified text of the draft SOLAS regulation II-2/20.3.1.2.4.
- 8.3 Following the discussion, the Committee, having agreed to the proposal set out in document MSC 94/8/2, approved the draft amendments to SOLAS regulation II-2/20.3, as set out in annex 11, requested the Secretary-General to circulate them in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, with a view to adoption at MSC 95, and instructed SSE 2 to review the approved draft amendments, in conjunction with SOLAS regulation II-2/19, for purposes of consistency, and advise MSC 95, as appropriate.

Amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units, 2009 (2009 MODU Code)

8.4 The Committee, having considered the draft amendments to paragraph 14.12.4 of the 2009 MODU Code, allowing alternative procedures for lifeboat drills on MODUs, adopted resolution MSC.387(94) on *Amendments to the Code for the Construction and Equipment of Mobile Offshore Drilling Units*, 2009 (2009 MODU Code), as set out in annex 12.

Guidelines on alternative methods for lifeboat drills on MODUs

8.5 In connection with the adoption of the above amendments to the 2009 MODU Code, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1486 on *Guidelines on alternative methods for lifeboat drills on MODUs*, noting that these guidelines may be used in conjunction with the provision of onboard training and instructions in paragraph 10.6.3 of the 1979 MODU Code and in paragraph 14.12 of the 1989 MODU Code.

Amendment to the recommendation on conditions for the approval of servicing stations for inflatable liferafts (resolution A.761(18))

8.6 The Committee adopted resolution MSC.388(94) on *Amendment to the recommendation on conditions for the approval of servicing stations for inflatable liferafts* (resolution A.761(18)), as set out in annex 13.

Draft amendments to SOLAS regulations II-2/4.5 and II-2/11.6

8.7 Having considered the draft amendments to SOLAS chapter II-2 proposed to clarify the provisions related to the secondary means of venting cargo tanks, the Committee approved the draft amendments to SOLAS regulations II-2//4.5 and II-2/11.6, as set out in annex 11, and requested the Secretary-General to circulate the above amendments in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, with a view to adoption at MSC 95.

Unified interpretations of chapters 5, 9 and 10 of the FSS Code

8.8 The Committee, having considered the draft unified interpretations related to fixed gas fire-extinguishing systems, fixed fire detection and fire alarm systems, and sample extraction smoke detection systems, approved MSC.1/Circ.1487 on *Unified interpretations* of chapters 5, 9 and 10 of the FSS Code.

Unified interpretation of part 3 of annex 1 to the 2010 FTP Code

8.9 Having considered the draft unified interpretation on testing and approval of pipe penetrations and cable transits which do not utilize conventional components, for use on "A" class divisions, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1488 on *Unified interpretation of part 3 of annex 1 to the 2010 FTP Code*.

Unified interpretation of the Revised recommendation on testing of life-saving appliances (resolution MSC.81(70))

8.10 The Committee, having considered the draft unified interpretation related to load testing of hooks intended for the primary release of lifeboats and rescue boats, approved MSC.1/Circ.1489 on *Unified interpretation of the Revised recommendation on testing of life-saving appliances* (resolution MSC.81(70)).

Unified interpretation of SOLAS regulation III/31.1.4

8.11 Having noted the latest version of IACS UI SC 213 on the embarkation stations and stowage location of the liferaft, regarded as "remotely located survival craft", the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1490 on *Unified interpretation of SOLAS regulation III/31.1.4*.

Amendments to the Unified interpretations of SOLAS chapter II-2, the FSS Code, the FTP Code and related fire test procedures (MSC/Circ.1120)

8.12 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1491 on Amendments to the Unified interpretations of SOLAS chapter II-2, the FSS Code, the FTP Code and related fire test procedures (MSC/Circ.1120).

Amendments to the Unified interpretations of SOLAS chapter II-2 and the FSS and FTP Codes (MSC.1/Circ.1456)

8.13 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1492 on Amendments to the Unified interpretations of SOLAS chapter II-2 and the FSS and FTP Codes (MSC.1/Circ.1456).

Correction to resolution MSC.81(70), as revised by resolution MSC.323(89)

8.14 The Committee endorsed the decision of SSE 2 to issue a corrigendum to modify paragraph 6.2.2. of part 1 in resolution MSC.81(70), due to an inadvertent omission.

Errors in the 2010 FTP Code

8.15 The Committee noted the decision of SSE 2 to issue an erratum on part 10 of the 2010 FTP Code to address the errors in connection with testing and certification of materials used for furniture and other components of high-speed craft.

IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 8 OF THE 2000 HSC CODE

- 8.16 The Committee considered document MSC 94/8/1 (IACS), requesting clarification regarding the implementation of paragraphs 8.10.1.4, 8.10.1.5 and 8.10.1.6 of the 2000 HSC Code concerning the exemption from the installation of rescue boats for high-speed craft of less than 30 m in length.
- 8.17 Following discussion on the opinion expressed by IACS that high-speed craft of less than 30 m in length, due to the size and general arrangement of such craft, may be exempted from carrying a rescue boat, provided the requirements of paragraphs 8.10.1.5.1 to 8.10.1.5.3 of the 2000 HSC Code are fulfilled, the Committee, having noted clear support for the IACS view and noting that paragraph 8.10.1.6 clearly requires a sufficient number of rescue boats for marshalling liferafts, instructed SSE 2 to prepare draft amendments to the 1994 and 2000 HSC Codes for further consideration at MSC 95. Member Governments and international organizations were invited to submit comments and proposals to SSE 2 under "Any other business".

IN-SERVICE TESTING OF AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEMS ON PASSENGER SHIPS

8.18 The Committee considered document MSC 94/20/2 (Bahamas), updating the findings from the testing programme on automatic sprinkler systems on passenger ships implemented by the Bahamas, identifying a number of serious issues to be addressed as a matter of urgency and proposing draft amendments to the *Revised guidelines for the maintenance and inspection of fire protection systems and appliances* (MSC.1/Circ.1432) and chapter 8 of the FSS Code to address the findings.

- 8.19 In considering this matter, the Committee noted the following views expressed during the discussion:
 - .1 in view of the emergence of this new hazard and the potential consequences should automatic sprinkler systems fail to operate completely on a passenger ship, an MSC circular raising awareness of the findings from the testing programme on automatic sprinkler systems on passenger ships implemented by the Bahamas should be developed as a matter of urgency;
 - .2 bearing in mind the complexity of the matter, document MSC 94/20/2 needs to be forwarded to the SSE Sub-Committee for detailed consideration with a view to preparing the amendments to the *Revised guidelines for the maintenance and inspection of fire protection systems and appliances* (MSC.1/Circ.1432) and chapter 8 of the FSS Code, as appropriate; and
 - .3 interim guidance should also be developed in addition to MSC.1/Circ.1432, based on the Bahamas' testing procedure, for use by Member Governments, international organizations and all other parties concerned before the amendments referred to above are available.

Establishment of an informal group of experts

- 8.20 Following the discussion, the Committee agreed that urgent action was needed on the Bahamas' findings and, consequently, established an Informal Group of Experts on Ship Systems and Equipment and instructed it, taking into account the comments and decisions made in plenary regarding failures of sprinklers to operate during testing on board ships in service, as highlighted in document MSC 94/20/2, to:
 - .1 prepare a draft MSC circular raising awareness of the findings from the testing programme on automatic sprinkler systems on passenger ships implemented by the Bahamas;
 - .2 develop interim guidance based on the Bahamas' testing procedure with a view to attaching the guidance to the above draft MSC circular; and
 - .3 consider how best to proceed in the long term in light of the proposals contained in document MSC 94/20/2 and advise the Committee accordingly.

Report of the informal group

8.21 Having considered the report of the informal group of experts (MSC 94/WP.12), the Committee took action as indicated hereunder.

Raising awareness and providing interim guidance

8.22 The Committee noted that the group had prepared interim guidance, to be used in addition to the provisions of the *Revised guidelines for the maintenance and inspection of fire protection systems and appliances* (MSC.1/Circ.1432), for dissemination by MSC circular, to raise awareness of the Bahamas' findings.

- 8.23 Having noted the group's view that requirements for automatic sprinkler systems are also applicable to other types of ships, the Committee decided to delete references to "passenger ships" from the text of the draft MSC circular. In noting this decision, the delegation of China expressed the view that the interim testing recommendations should not be expanded to cover cargo ships.
- 8.24 Having considered the above issue, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1493 on Interim Guidance for in-service testing of automatic sprinkler systems.

Long-term actions

8.25 The Committee, recalling its initial intention to forward document MSC 94/20/2 to SSE 2 for consideration and taking into account the complex nature of this work and the number of documents that may be submitted to SSE 2 on this matter, agreed to include, in the biennial status report of the SSE Sub-Committee and the provisional agenda of SSE 2, an unplanned output on "Revision of requirements for automatic sprinkler systems", with a target completion date of 2015, with a view to revising MSC.1/Circ.1432 and preparing amendments to chapter 8 of the FSS Code, as appropriate (see also paragraph 18.25).

9 NAVIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS, SEARCH AND RESCUE

REPORT OF THE FIRST SESSION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE

General

9.1 The Committee approved, in general, the report of the first session of the Sub-Committee on Navigation, Communications, Search and Rescue (NCSR) (NCSR 1/28 and MSC 94/9) and took action as indicated hereunder.

Amendments to existing traffic separation schemes (TSSs)

- 9.2 In accordance with resolution A.858(20), the Committee adopted amendments to the following existing traffic separation schemes:
 - .1 "In the Strait of Gibraltar";
 - .2 "In the waters off the Chengshan Jiao Promontory"; and
 - .3 "Off Friesland",

as set out in annex 14, for dissemination by means of COLREG.2/Circ.66.

Routeing measures other than TSSs

- 9.3 In accordance with resolution A.858(20), the Committee adopted the following new and amended routeing measures other than separation schemes, including revocation of the existing routeing measures other than traffic separation schemes:
 - .1 amendment to the existing recommended directions of traffic flow in the precautionary area off Tanger-Med in the Strait of Gibraltar areas;
 - .2 new areas to be avoided "Off Friesland";

- .3 amendments to the deep-water routes forming parts of routeing system "Off Friesland":
- .4 amendments to the mandatory route for tankers from North Hinder to the German Bight;
- .5 amendments to the existing two-way route in the Great North-East Channel;
- new two-way routes and a precautionary area at Jomard Entrance, Papua New Guinea; and
- .7 revocation of the area to be avoided in the region of the Great Barrier Reef,

as set out in annex 15, for dissemination by means of SN.1/Circ.327.

Amendments to the existing mandatory ship reporting system

9.4 In accordance with resolution A.858(20), the Committee adopted resolution MSC.389(94) on *Amendments to the existing mandatory ship reporting system Off Chengshan Jiao Promontory*, as set out in annex 16, for dissemination by means of SN.1/Circ.328.

Implementation of the adopted routeing measures and amended existing mandatory ship reporting system

9.5 The Committee decided that the new, amended and revoked routeing measures and the amended existing mandatory ship reporting system, detailed in subparagraphs 9.2 to 9.4 (annexes 14 to 16) be implemented six months after their adoption, i.e. on 1 June 2015 at 0000 hours UTC.

Consolidation of ECDIS-related IMO circulars

9.6 The Committee endorsed the action taken by the Sub-Committee to forward the draft MSC circular on ECDIS-Guidance for good practice to the HTW Sub-Committee for review, in particular, the provisions related to ECDIS training and the use of simulators, for subsequent approval by the Committee.

BeiDou satellite navigation system

9.7 The Committee recognized the satellite navigation system "BeiDou" as a future component of the World-Wide Radionavigation System, and consequently approved SN.1/Circ.329 on Recognition of the BeiDou Satellite Navigation System (BDS) as a component of the World-Wide Radionavigation System. In this context, the delegation of the United States made a statement, as set out in annex 29.

Matters related to long-range identification and tracking (LRIT) of ships

- 9.8 The Committee approved amendments to:
 - .1 COMSAR.1/Circ.54/Rev.1 on Audits of LRIT Data Centres and of the International LRIT Data Exchange conducted by the LRIT Coordinator;

- .2 MSC.1/Circ.1259/Rev.5 and MSC.1/Circ.1294/Rev.3 on LRIT Technical documentation, parts 1 and 2, respectively;
- .3 MSC.1/Circ.1338 on Guidance to Search and Rescue services in relation to requesting and receiving LRIT information:
- .4 MSC.1/Circ.1376/Rev.1 on Continuity of service plan for the LRIT system; and
- .5 MSC.1/Circ.1412 on Principles and guidelines relating to the review and audit of the performance of LRIT Data Centres and the International LRIT Data Exchange,

and requested the Secretariat to issue updated circulars as COMSAR.1/Circ.54/Rev.2, MSC.1/Circ.1259/Rev.6, MSC.1/Circ.1294/Rev.4, MSC.1/Circ.1338/Rev.1, MSC.1/Circ.1376/Rev.2 and MSC.1/Circ.1412/Rev.1, respectively.

Sustainability and viability of the LRIT system

- 9.9 The Committee noted that NCSR 1 had considered a recommendation by the European Commission to initiate a review of the LRIT system for the purpose of continuous improvement (NCSR 1/8/6) and that, recognizing the need for a review of the LRIT system, it had agreed that this was a policy issue that should be considered by the Committee and invited interested Member Governments to submit appropriate proposals to the Committee.
- 9.10 In this context, the Committee considered document MSC 94/9/6 (Liberia), proposing to improve the financial sustainability and viability of the LRIT system by:
 - .1 changing the default interval for transmission of LRIT information from four to two positions per day (i.e. one transmission every 12 hours); and
 - .2 establishing a different mechanism for the audit of LRIT Data Centres (DCs) by developing a new module as part of the IMO Global Integrated Shipping Information System (GISIS), which could perform the analysis of samples of data submitted by DCs and produce a report for evaluation by the LRIT Operational governance body.
- 9.11 During the ensuing discussions, the Committee noted the following views expressed:
 - .1 with regard to changing the default interval for transmission of LRIT information that:
 - .1 a reduction of the default interval would negatively affect the use of the system, in particular, for maritime domain awareness and security-related purposes, and to support search and rescue operations;
 - .2 the current default interval of four transmissions per day was not cost effective given the low number of data requests from port and coastal States and that, even if the default interval was reduced, the option to request information at a more frequent interval (e.g. 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 3 h or 6 h) or using polling requests should still be maintained:

- .2 in respect to the audit of DCs that:
 - 1 further consideration should be given to options for reducing the cost of the audits, such as reducing the audit frequency or the number of DCs, or exploring other alternative options for conducting the audits (e.g. use of GISIS, transfer of functions to the IMO Secretariat, etc.), including opportunities for reducing the administrative burden of DCs related to the audit process and simplifying the audit for groups of DCs using the same hardware and software design or for those which had no fundamental findings as a result of the latest audit; and
 - .2 if the Committee would decide to implement a different process for conducting the audits (other than IMSO):
 - .1 a clear process along with an acceptable timeline should be established in order to allow IMSO to reorganize its internal structure;
 - .2 transfer of existing resources and experience within IMSO should be considered and safeguarded; and
 - .3 the continuity of the current audit arrangements should be ensured until the transfer of responsibilities was completed, allowing for a reasonable transition period;
- .3 careful consideration should be given to the need for a holistic review of the LRIT system in order to properly address the above-mentioned issues, along with the cost of the LRIT information and of the establishment, maintenance and operation of DCs;
- .4 that the issue could be further considered by either:
 - .1 the NCSR Sub-Committee, in order to discuss alternatives for the conduct of the audit and to develop a transition plan under the existing output 5.2.4.2 (Updates to the LRIT system); or
 - .2 MSC 95, with the possibility of establishing a working group to deal with this issue; and
- that IMSO could bring the issue to the attention of the next IMSO Assembly (25 to 28 November 2014), which would discuss, inter alia, a new revised formula for calculating the audit unit fee that could address the issue, and submit any relevant information to MSC 95, for consideration.
- 9.12 After an in-depth discussion, the Committee agreed that:
 - .1 there was a need for a holistic review of the financial viability of the LRIT system, with the understanding that for the time being the default interval of transmissions should not be changed and that priority should be given to the consideration of how to reduce the cost of the audit, including reviewing existing audit provisions and exploring further alternatives for the conduct of the audit:

- a policy decision should be first taken at the Committee level before instructing the NCSR Sub-Committee to embark on any further work;
- .3 the issue of how to reduce the cost of the audits should be further considered at MSC 95 with the view to adopting a final policy decision; and
- .4 notwithstanding the above, IMSO should continue with the current arrangements for the conduct of the audits until a final policy decision had been taken.
- 9.13 In light of the foregoing, the Committee agreed to add an item to the agenda of MSC 95 on "Performance review and audit of LRIT Data Centres" and invited Member Governments and international organizations to submit relevant proposals or information to MSC 95 for consideration.
- 9.14 During the consideration of the draft report of the Committee, the delegation of Malta expressed the view that discussions and conclusions related to the sustainability and viability of the LRIT system were not clearly reflected in the report and were unbalanced with respect to other parts of the report where lengthy discussions had also taken place.

E-navigation matters

- 9.15 The Committee approved the e-navigation Strategy Implementation Plan (SIP), as set out in document NCSR 1/28, annex 7. In this context, the Committee noted the view expressed by the United Kingdom that it supported the approval of the SIP without prejudice to the discussions relating to the approval of an unplanned output to progress the work further on e-navigation under agenda item 18 (see paragraphs 18.16 and 18.17).
- 9.16 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1494 on *Guidelines on Harmonization of test beds reporting*.

Guidelines for the onboard operational use of shipborne automatic identification systems (AIS)

9.17 The Committee approved, with minor amendment, the draft Assembly resolution on Revised guidelines for the onboard operational use of shipborne automatic identification systems (AIS), as set out in annex 17, and forwarded it to the Assembly for adoption.

Developments in maritime radiocommunication systems and technology

- 9.18 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/9/2 (Secretariat), providing information on two alternative methodologies for the technical and operational assessment of the Iridium mobile satellite system and the delivery of an evaluation report for consideration by the NCSR Sub-Committee, evaluated either by a Group of Experts or by an independent body external to the Organization (e.g. IMSO); and
 - .2 MSC 94/9/4 (United Kingdom and the United States), proposing that IMSO perform the technical and operational assessment on the application to recognize and use the Iridium mobile satellite system in the GMDSS.

- 9.19 The delegation of the Russian Federation expressed the view that IMSO should provide a list of experts that would undertake this work to ensure transparency.
- 9.20 After lengthy discussion, the Committee:
 - .1 agreed that IMSO should undertake the technical and operational assessment of the Iridium mobile satellite system;
 - .2 agreed that IMSO should provide a technical and operational assessment report for consideration by the NCSR Sub-Committee;
 - agreed that the scope of the evaluation was to assess compliance with the criteria set out in resolution A.1001(25), taking into account the guidance laid down in MSC.1/Circ.1414;
 - .4 noted that IMSO would convene a Group of Experts and, in order to enhance transparency of the process, would make information available to Member States with regard to the selected experts who would carry out the technical and operational assessment; and
 - .5 instructed the Secretariat to oversee the work of IMSO during the evaluation process.
- 9.21 In this regard, the delegation of France, supported by some delegations, expressed an additional concern on the increase of the State transmission costs for the broadcasting of maritime safety information and communications during search and rescue operations when new satellite service providers would also provide GMDSS services in future. The full text of the statement is set out in annex 29.
- 9.22 The Committee noted the information provided by the United States (MSC 94/9/3) in response to the concerns raised at NCSR 1 regarding the application to recognize and use the Iridium mobile satellite system in the GMDSS and instructed the Secretariat to forward it to IMSO for further evaluation.
- 9.23 In this context, the delegation of Spain expressed some additional concerns, which were supported by some delegations, as set out in annex 29.
- 9.24 Having noted the concerns expressed by the delegations of France and Spain (paragraphs 9.21 and 9.23 refers), the Committee considered that the issues were not all directly related to the assessment of compliance with the criteria set out in resolution A.1001(25) and should be submitted to the NCSR Sub-Committee for further consideration.

Review and modernization of the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS)

- 9.25 The Committee noted that the Sub-Committee had approved the outcome of the High-level Review of the GMDSS (NCSR 1/28, annex 10).
- 9.26 The Committee, taking into account that the detailed review was still in an early stage and could not be finalized by NSCR 2, agreed to extend the planned output 5.2.5.2, First outline of the detailed review of the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS), by an additional year i.e. to 2018 and approved the revised Plan of work, as set out in annex 11 to document NCSR 1/28.

9.27 The Committee endorsed the action taken by the Sub-Committee, as an exceptional case, to receive the report of the Correspondence Group on the Review of the GMDSS two weeks beyond the deadline for bulky documents for NCSR 2, i.e. by 19 December 2014.

Joint IMO/IHO/WMO Manual on Maritime Safety Information

9.28 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1310/Rev.1 on the *Revised Joint IMO/IHO/WMO Manual on Maritime Safety Information (MSI)*, which will enter into force on 1 January 2016.

Radiocommunication and ITU matters

- 9.29 The Committee endorsed the action taken by the Sub-Committee in requesting the Secretariat to convey the liaison statement on the revision of Recommendation ITU-R M.493-13 to ITU-R Working Party 5B (NCSR 1/28, annex 13).
- 9.30 The Committee approved the IMO position on WRC-15 agenda items concerning matters relating to maritime services (NCSR 1/28, annex 14) and instructed the Secretariat to convey it to ITU's Conference Preparatory Meeting, to take place from 23 March to 2 April 2015.
- 9.31 The Committee instructed the Secretariat to consult with IMO Member States present at ITU's Conference Preparatory Meeting on new issues not included in the IMO position as developed and approved by the Committee, and to take action, as appropriate, to protect IMO's interest.
- 9.32 The Committee endorsed the action taken by the Sub-Committee in requesting the Secretariat to convey the liaison statement on additional comments in relation to frequency bands identified by ITU-R for future assessment of the suitability for International Mobile Telecoms (IMT) (NCSR 1/28, annex 15) to ITU-R Joint Task Group 4-5-6-7.

Cospas-Sarsat services

9.33 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1210/Rev.1 on *Guidance on the Cospas-Sarsat International 406 MHz Beacon Registration Database (IBRD)*.

Harmonization of aeronautical and maritime search and rescue

9.34 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1182/Rev.1 on *Guide to recovery techniques*.

Polar Code

9.35 The Committee recalled that the outcome arising from the Sub-Committee's consideration on Polar Code issues had been already dealt with under agenda item 3 (see paragraph 3.41).

IACS unified interpretations

- 9.36 In considering the draft Unified Interpretations of SOLAS regulation V/23.3.3 on Pilot transfer arrangements, as set out in annex 21 of document NCSR 1/28, the Committee considered the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/9/1 (Spain), concluding that the proposed draft Unified Interpretation could apply to ships and transfer arrangements on ships subject to both the current regulation V/23 and the old regulation V/17; and

- .2 MSC 94/9/5 (IMPA), commenting on the decision of NCSR 1 and document MSC 94/9/1 (Spain), stating that they saw no compelling need to issue an interpretation of regulation V/23 and that the confirmations and clarifications requested in document MSC 94/9/1 would only serve to confuse a matter that was discussed at great length and ultimately agreed at both NAV 59 and NCSR 1.
- 9.37 During the ensuing discussion, the Committee noted the following views expressed:
 - .1 that the views expressed by IMPA were appropriate;
 - .2 that the draft Unified Interpretation as developed by the NCSR Sub-Committee should be approved; and
 - .3 that Member Governments interpreted SOLAS regulation V/23 in different ways and that an amendment to this regulation might be necessary to clarify certain issues.
- 9.38 After some discussion, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1495 on *Unified Interpretations of SOLAS regulation V/23.3.3* and invited interested Member Governments to submit a proposal for a new unplanned output to review SOLAS regulation V/23, as appropriate.
- 9.39 In considering the draft *Unified Interpretations on the Completion of items 2.1* and 2.2 of Part 3 of the Form E and items 2.1 and 2.2 of Part 5 of Forms P and C, the Committee noted the following views expressed:
 - .1 SOLAS regulation V/19 only defined the carriage requirement for ECDIS, but did not specify that the mariner should use it;
 - .2 the Unified Interpretation should not have to clarify the issue of use, but only clarify the different carriage requirement options and how to complete the relevant forms;
 - .3 to discuss the issue of carriage requirement versus the requirement of the actual use of ECDIS would require a new unplanned output aiming at an amendment to SOLAS regulation V/19.
- 9.40 After a brief discussion, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1496 on *Unified Interpretations on the Appendix to the SOLAS Convention regarding the records of equipment concerning nautical charts and ECDIS, Completion of items 2.1 and 2.2 of Part 3 of the Form E and items 2.1 and 2.2 of Part 5 of Forms P and C.*

The IMO/IALA Award for Zero Accident Campaign

9.41 The Committee endorsed the establishment of an IMO/IALA award to promote the zero accident campaign.

Outcome of the 8th Seoul International Maritime Forum

9.42 The Committee noted with appreciation the information contained in document MSC 94/INF.3 (Republic of Korea and IALA), outlining the outcome of the 8th Seoul International Maritime Forum, which was held under the theme of "the implementation of e-navigation: from concept to reality".

Cospas-Sarsat second generation 406 MHz distress beacons

9.43 The Committee noted with appreciation the information contained in document MSC 94/INF.14 (United States) relating to the need to update relevant IMO documents to integrate the new Cospas-Sarsat MEOSAR and Second Generation Beacon specifications and standards.

10 IMPLEMENTATION OF IMO INSTRUMENTS

REPORT OF THE FIRST SESSION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE

General

- 10.1 The Committee approved in general the report of the first session of the Sub-Committee on Implementation of IMO Instruments (III 1/18 and MSC 94/10) and, taking into account relevant decisions and comments made by MEPC 67 (MSC 94/2/4), took action as indicated below.
- 10.2 Among actions requested by III 1 (MSC 94/10), the Committee decided to deal with paragraphs 2.14, 2.16 and 2.17 of document MSC 94/10 under agenda item 18 (Work Programme) (see paragraphs 18.10 to 18.13).

Interim guidelines on the use of printed versions of electronic certificates

- 10.3 The Committee was advised that the action requested of it has been overtaken by events since FAL 39 approved *Guidelines for the use of electronic certificates* (FAL.5/Circ.39/Rev.1) and requested MSC and MEPC to note the contents of the circular and take any necessary action, as appropriate (FAL 39/16, paragraph 5.36).
- 10.4 The Committee was also advised that FAL 39 agreed that electronic certificates viewed on a computer should be considered as meeting the requirements to be "on board", provided that the certificates and the website used to access them conform to the guidelines approved by the Organization and specific verification instructions are available on board the ship and, in this respect, invited the Committee to consider amending FAL.2/Circ.127-MEPC.1/Circ.817-MSC.1/Circ.1462 on "List of certificates and documents required to be carried on board ships" so as to reflect this understanding.
- 10.5 Following the discussion, the Committee, having been advised of the relevant outcome of MEPC 67, instructed III 2 to consider amending the "List of certificates and documents required to be carried on board ships" to reflect the provisions of *Guidelines for the use of electronic certificates*.

Casualty investigation reports and analysing material

10.6 The Committee decided to consider the actions relating to the outcome of the analysis of the marine safety investigation report concerning the grounding and loss of the passenger ship **Costa Concordia** under agenda item 6 (see paragraphs 6.2 and 6.3).

- 10.7 In considering the outcome of the analysis of the marine safety investigation report concerning the foundering of the livestock carrier **Danny F II**, the Committee noted the absence of internationally accepted standards to define the trade for livestock carriage and consideration of related manning and training requirements of marine and non-marine crew.
- 10.8 With regard to the issue of the compatibility of immersion suits and buoyancy aids, the Committee agreed to refer the report on the incident of the **Swanland**, as well as its analysis and comments, to the Correspondence Group on Life-Saving Appliances (LSA) established by SSE 1 under its agenda item for output 5.1.2.1 on "New framework of requirements for life-saving appliances", for reporting to SSE 2 with a view to advising MSC 95 accordingly.
- 10.9 The Committee, having recalled its previous decision on the sub-committees' working arrangements for review of reports and recommendation arising from the casualty review process (MSC 92/26 paragraphs 22.28 and 22.29), invited Member Governments to submit proposals to MSC 95 on how to address recommendations emanating from the casualty review process, taking into account the decision already made by MSC 92.

Amendments to the Casualty Investigation Code (resolution MSC.255(84))

10.10 The Committee adopted resolution MSC.390(94) on *Amendments to the Code of the international standards and recommended practices for a safety investigation into a marine casualty or marine incident (Casualty Investigation Code)*, as set out in annex 18, in order to incorporate the necessary changes to the references to resolution A.1070(28) on *IMO Instruments Implementation Code*, revoking resolution A.1054(27), which had revoked resolutions A.996(25) and A.1019(26), and resolution A.1075(28) on *Guidelines to assist investigators in the implementation of the Casualty Investigation Code* (resolution MSC.255(84)), revoking resolutions A.849(20) and A.884(21).

Reporting on marine safety investigations

10.11 The Committee concurred with the decision of MEPC 67 in endorsing the recommendations of the Sub-Committee that, in order to facilitate and encourage reporting on marine safety investigations conducted in accordance with the Casualty Investigation Code, casualty and incident data should be available in any of the three IMO working languages; preliminary information on very serious casualties should be provided by the flag State not later than six months after their occurrence; and technical assistance should be considered for countries with outstanding marine casualty investigation reports on very serious marine casualties as a matter of priority.

Guidelines for port State control officers on the ISM Code

10.12 The Committee, having been advised that MEPC 67 agreed that the draft *Guidelines* for port State control officers on the ISM Code, as prepared by III 1, should be referred to the HTW Sub-Committee for comments, taking into account the views expressed by IACS on further definitions needed, prior to their approval, agreed that the draft *Guidelines for port State* control officers on the ISM Code should be referred to HTW 2 for consideration under its agenda item on "Role of the human element", prior to its approval by MEPC 68 and MSC 95.

Implementation of STCW.7/Circ.21 and STCW.7/Circ.22

10.13 The Committee noted the outcome of the consideration of the implementation of STCW.7/Circ.21 and STCW.7/Circ.22 by III 1 that circulars recommending action by port States should be implemented in a consistent manner by all port States and PSC regimes;

and member Administrations of PSC regimes should actively promote the implementation of such circulars within the procedures or advisory material produced by the PSC regime.

- 10.14 The delegation of the Russian Federation dissented from the recommendation to invite PSC regimes to implement non-mandatory guidelines consistently, emphasizing that non-mandatory instruments should not be given equal footing to requirements contained in mandatory instruments. The full text of the statement of the delegation of the Russian Federation is set out in annex 29.
- 10.15 In this context, the Chairman of the HTW Sub-Committee clarified that the two circulars addressed the urgent need for providing PSC officers with pragmatic advice on action to be taken in cases where seafarers do not carry certification on security-related training, and clarifying training and certification requirements for ship security officers and seafarers with designated security duties. It was on this basis that the HTW Sub-Committee had requested the Secretariat to forward the circulars to the III Sub-Committee in the context of its work on the harmonization of PSC activities.

Guidelines for port State control officers on certification of seafarers' rest hours, based on the relevant provisions of the 1978 STCW Convention, as amended, and manning requirements from the flag

10.16 The Committee noted the completion of the draft MSC circular on *Guidelines for port State control officers on certification of seafarers' rest hours, based on the relevant provisions of the 1978 STCW Convention, as amended, and manning requirements from the flag, which was referred to HTW 2 under its agenda item on "Role of the human element" for review, prior to referral to the Committee for approval.*

Review of consolidated audit summary reports and analysis of the findings from audits

- 10.17 The Committee noted the five major areas of recurrent findings in audits (flag State surveyors; delegation of authority; initial actions (legislation); communication of information; and implementation), established by the sections of the *Code for the implementation of mandatory IMO instruments*, 2011 (resolution A.1054(27)) for action.
- 10.18 The Committee noted the underlying causes, as identified by audited Member States, that are indicative of the reasons for a shortfall in the effective implementation and enforcement of mandatory IMO instruments and the audit standard for action, i.e. absence/lack of procedure/process/mechanism, absence/lack of national provisions, insufficient resources, lack of coordination among various entities, absence/lack of training programmes, prolonged legislation process, responsibilities of entity/person not assigned, and absence of dedicated units.
- 10.19 In this context, the Committee invited Member States to pay more attention when identifying and reviewing root causes, as they constitute key information for the analysis of audit results, in particular, for identifying reasons for the shortfall in the effective implementation and enforcement of mandatory IMO instruments and the audit standard, as well as for targeting any assistance from the Organization.
- 10.20 With regard to the assistance to Member States in the execution of their obligations and responsibilities under relevant IMO instruments and the audit standard, the Committee, while concurring with the decision of MEPC 67, invited the Technical Cooperation Committee to review current technical assistance activities, taking into account the III Sub-Committee's view that such a review would, at the current stage, be necessary at least for the areas of

delegation of authority, including establishment of necessary oversight programmes of recognized organizations, in accordance with the new guidelines provided in the Code for Recognized Organizations and the conclusion of agreements between the Administration and ROs, as well as for the area of flag State surveyors, including training programmes for improving and continuous updating of the knowledge of flag State surveyors.

Unified Interpretation on keel laying date for fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP) craft

10.21 The Committee concurred with the decision of MEPC 67 and approved MSC-MEPC.5/Circ.9 on *Unified Interpretation on keel laying date for fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP) craft.*

Direct reporting of III 2 to A 29

10.22 The Committee, having noted that III 2, scheduled to be held in July 2015, will be expected to finalize draft Assembly resolutions, concurred with the decision of MEPC 67 and authorized the Sub-Committee to report the outcome of its work on matters that would require the adoption of draft Assembly resolutions to A 29 directly.

PREPARATION OF THE THIRD JOINT IMO/FAO WORKING GROUP ON IUU FISHING AND RELATED MATTERS

- 10.23 The Committee recalled that the second meeting of the Joint IMO/FAO Ad Hoc Working Group on Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Fishing and Related Matters (JWG) was held from 16 to 18 July 2007 at the Headquarters of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in Rome and had agreed to recommend the organization of the third meeting of the JWG, depending on the progress made on relevant issues by both Organizations.
- 10.24 The Committee also recalled that the Cape Town Agreement of 2012 on the Implementation of the Provisions of the 1993 Protocol relating to the Torremolinos International Convention for the Safety of Fishing Vessels, 1977, was initially presented to the second meeting of the JWG. In this context, the Committee noted that, while the Cape Town Agreement will enter into force 12 months after the date on which not less than 22 States the aggregate number of whose fishing vessels of 24 m in length and over operating on the high seas is not less than 3,600 have expressed their consent to be bound by it, to date, only three States had done so.
- 10.25 The Committee further recalled that A 28 had adopted resolution A.1078(28) on *IMO Ship Identification Number Scheme* so that the scheme now applies on a voluntary basis to fishing vessels of 100 gross tonnage and above, which was one of the issues considered from the outcome of the second meeting of the JWG.
- 10.26 In this connection, the Committee considered the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/10/1 (Secretariat), containing reports on preparation for the holding of the third meeting of the JWG; and
 - .2 MSC 94/10/2 (WWF), providing comments on the proposal for the third meeting of the JWG, and the proposed agenda.
- 10.27 Following the discussion and having noted the interventions made, in particular by the FAO and ILO, as set out in annex 29, the Committee concurred with the decision of MEPC 67 and:

- .1 approved the holding of the third meeting of the Joint FAO/IMO Ad Hoc Working Group on IUU Fishing and Related Matters (JWG);
- .2 agreed that the Organization should be represented at the meeting by the following nine Member States: Argentina, Canada, China, Cook Islands, Denmark, Liberia, Norway, Republic of Korea and Turkey; and
- .3 agreed that the third meeting of the JWG should meet at IMO Headquarters during 2015.
- 10.28 Regarding the provisional agenda of the third meeting of the JWG, the Committee instructed the Secretariat to provide information on the terms of reference of the JWG and its previous agenda, for information to its next session when it will consider the matter further.

11 CARRIAGE OF CARGOES AND CONTAINERS

URGENT MATTERS EMANATING FROM THE FIRST SESSION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE

11.1 The Committee considered urgent matters referred to it (MSC 94/11) emanating from the first session of the Sub-Committee on Carriage of Cargoes and Containers (CCC) (CCC 1/13, CCC 1/13/Corr.1 and CCC 1/13/Add.1) and took action as indicated hereunder.

Draft amendments to the IMSBC Code

- 11.2 The Committee noted that the Sub-Committee had authorized E&T 22 to finalize the remaining (i.e. not related to HME substances) draft amendments (03-15) to the IMSBC Code and relevant circulars (MSC.1/Circ.1453, MSC.1/Circ.1454 and MSC.1/Circ.1395/Rev.1), based on decisions taken at CCC 1, and had requested the Secretary-General to circulate the draft amendments to the IMSBC Code in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, for consideration and subsequent adoption by MSC 95, together with the approval of the relevant circulars. The Committee also noted that the draft amendments (03-15) to the IMSBC Code had been circulated by Circular Letter No.3488 dated 17 November 2014.
- 11.3 In this context, the Committee noted that draft amendments to the IMSBC Code related to HME substances (draft non-mandatory provision for cargo information and draft new section 14) had been circulated by Circular Letter No.3489 dated 17 November 2014 in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, for consideration and subsequent adoption by MSC 95, taking into account the advice of MEPC 68.

Draft amendments to the CSS Code and the Revised guidelines for the preparation of the cargo securing manual

11.4 The Committee approved the draft amendments to the Code of Safe Practice for Cargo Stowage and Securing (CSS Code) and the associated MSC circular cover note (MSC.1/Circ.1352), and also approved the draft amendments to MSC.1/Circ.1353 on *Revised guidelines for the preparation of the Cargo Securing Manual*. Consequently, the Committee agreed for the aforementioned circulars to be reissued as MSC.1/Circ.1352/Rev.1 and MSC.1/Circ.1353/Rev.1, respectively, incorporating the approved amendments.

IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code) and related informative material

- 11.5 The Committee noted that the 322nd session of the ILO Governing Body, which met from 30 October to 13 November 2014, had approved the IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code) without changes and, having taken into account that all three United Nations organizations had now formally approved the CTU Code, decided to prepare an MSC circular on the CTU Code at this session in order to facilitate dissemination and future references to the Code.
- 11.6 Having considered the draft MSC circular on the CTU Code (MSC 94/WP.11, annex 1) the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1497 on the *IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code)*.
- 11.7 With regard to the draft MSC circular on Informative material related to the IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code), the Committee introduced a reference to the aforementioned MSC circular on the CTU Code and a footnote with the address of the UNECE website from where the CTU Code and the informative material can be downloaded (MSC 94/WP.11, annex 2). Subsequently, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1498 on *Informative material related to the IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code)*.

Meetings of the E&T Group in 2015

- 11.8 The Committee approved the holding of two intersessional meetings of the E&T Group in 2015 to prepare the next set of amendments to the IMDG Code (see also paragraph 18.36).
- 11.9 In this regard, the Committee, having recalled that FAL 39 (MSC 94/2/3, paragraph 1.3) had requested the Committee to instruct E&T 23 to consider a proposal by Japan (FAL 39/4, annex 2) regarding the information required in the Dangerous Goods Manifest and FAL Form 7, instructed E&T 23 to consider the aforementioned proposal, as appropriate, and advise CCC 2 accordingly. Consequently, CCC 2 was instructed to advise FAL 40 following consideration of the outcome of E&T 23.

Guidelines regarding the verified gross mass of a container carrying cargo

11.10 The Committee noted with appreciation document MSC 94/INF.9 (International Transport Workers' Federation and Nautical Institute), containing information regarding ongoing concerns of seafarers on the subject of misdeclared mass of containers, particularly the enforcement and implementation of the amendments to SOLAS regulation VI/2 and the associated guidelines (MSC.1/Circ.1475).

Draft amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols of 1978 and 1988 to make the International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or other Low-flashpoint Fuels mandatory

11.11 The Committee recalled that CCC 1 had agreed to the draft International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or other Low-flashpoint Fuels (IGF Code), together with the associated draft amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols of 1978 and 1988 related thereto, except for matters related to the protective tank location requirements, since MSC 93 had decided to consider these matters at this session (see paragraphs 11.21 to 11.33).

- 11.12 The Committee also recalled that CCC 1 had requested the Secretariat to prepare draft text, for inclusion in the draft SOLAS amendments, that would require existing ships using low-flashpoint fuels to comply with the requirements of the IGF Code, if such ships convert or switch to using other types of low-flashpoint fuels after the entry into force of new part G of SOLAS chapter II-1. The Committee noted that the text developed by the Secretariat was reflected in square brackets and marked with a footnote in draft new regulation II-1/56.2, as set out in annex 1 of document CCC 1/13.
- 11.13 In considering the draft amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols of 1978 and 1988 (CCC 1/13, annexes 1 to 3, respectively), the Committee took action as indicated in paragraphs 11.14 to 11.20.

Existing ships and ships covered by the IGC Code

- 11.14 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/9 (Japan and Spain) proposing to:
 - replace the words "using their cargoes as fuel" in draft new SOLAS regulation II-1/56.3 with the words "using only their cargoes as low-flashpoint fuel" in order to avoid any misinterpretation that the IGF Code need not apply to a gas carrier using a low-flashpoint fuel other than its cargo, provided that the ship complies with the requirements of chapter 16 of the IGC Code;
 - .2 for consistency with draft new regulation II-1/56.1, insert the words "except as provided for in 3," at the beginning of draft new regulation II-1/56.2;
 - .3 insert the phrase "including those constructed before 1 January 2009" after "irrespective of the date of construction" in draft regulation II-1/56.2 to clarify that the regulation would also apply to ships built prior to the general application date of SOLAS chapter II-1; and
 - .4 for the purpose of clarifying that the IGF Code will apply in addition to present regulations to both new and existing ships, delete the last sentence in draft regulation II-1/56.1 and add the words "in addition to any other applicable requirements of the present regulations," after the phrase "except as provided for in 3," in revised draft regulations II-1/56.1 and II-1/56.2.
- 11.15 In considering document MSC 94/11/9, specifically with regard to the proposal to modify the draft new SOLAS regulation II-1/56.1.3 to clarify that the IGF Code would apply to gas carriers using low-flashpoint fuels other than their cargo, the Committee noted that, although the proposal was in line with the intention of CCC 1, there were concerns that if both the IGF and IGC Codes were to apply simultaneously to a single gas carrier, difficulties would arise in applying requirements that differed between the two Codes, for example on vessel tank location and ESD (Emergency shutdown protected) spaces.
- 11.16 Taking into account the above concerns and the view that burning of low-flashpoint fuels other than cargo in gas carriers could adequately be dealt with by existing provisions for alternative design in the IGC Code on a case by case basis, the Committee decided not to pursue the aforementioned proposal in document MSC 94/11/9 further and agreed to retain the draft SOLAS regulation II-1/56.3 unchanged, as set out in annex 1 to document CCC 1/13.

11.17 Regarding the remaining proposals in document MSC 94/11/9, the Committee agreed to refer them to the working group for further consideration, together with a proposal by the delegation of the United States to introduce text in draft SOLAS regulation II-1/56, based on draft SOLAS regulation XIV/2.4, with the purpose of clarifying the applicability of the IGF Code to sovereign immune vessels.

Use of oil fuels having a flashpoint of not less than 43°C in emergency generators or being subject to SOLAS regulation II-2/4.2.1.3

11.18 Following consideration of document MSC 94/11/10 (Japan), proposing to add a new paragraph 4 in draft new SOLAS regulation II-1/56 clarifying that the IGF Code need not apply to ships using oil fuels having a flashpoint of not less than 43°C in emergency generators or being subject to the provisions in regulation II-2/4.2.1.3, in order to remove any ambiguity regarding the application of the IGF Code, the Committee agreed to refer the document to the working group for further consideration, with a view to ensuring that the existing exceptions in SOLAS regulations II-2/4.2.1.2 and II-2/4.2.1.3 extend to the application of the IGF Code.

Existing ships using low-flashpoint fuels that switch to using additional or different low-flashpoint fuels after the date of entry into force of the IGF Code

- 11.19 The Committee referred the draft text in square brackets in draft new SOLAS regulation II-1/56.2 (CCC 1/13, annex 1), as developed by the Secretariat on request of CCC 1, to the working group for further consideration.
- 11.20 Subsequently, having noted that that there were no remaining square brackets for consideration in the draft amendments to SOLAS or in the draft amendments to the Protocols of 1978 and 1988, the Committee instructed the Joint Working Group on the Polar and IGF Codes, established under agenda item 3 (Consideration and adoption of amendments to mandatory instruments) (see also paragraph 3.42) to finalize the draft amendments to SOLAS for consideration by the Committee, with a view to adoption at MSC 95.

Proposed modifications to the draft IGF Code

Protective tank location requirements

- 11.21 The Committee recalled that SDC 1, having noted the stability working group's divided views on the threshold values for the length of the fuel tanks in the deterministic part (section 5.3.3. of the Code) and the value of the factor f_{CN} in the probabilistic part (section 5.3.4 of the Code), had agreed to forward the two options on the threshold values for the length of the fuel tanks and the factor f_{CN} to MSC 94 for decision (allowing further validation of the threshold values meanwhile).
- 11.22 The Committee recalled further that SDC 1 had endorsed the draft protective location criteria for LNG fuel tanks of sections 5.3.3 and 5.3.4 of the draft IGF Code and that MSC 93 had endorsed the way forward proposed by SDC 1.
- 11.23 In this regard, the Committee noted that, based on the documents submitted to this session, prior to deciding between the two threshold values in square brackets for the tank length limits in the deterministic requirements and the factor f_{CN} in the probabilistic requirements, as requested by SDC 1, the Committee would have to address the fundamental issue of whether it was feasible and appropriate to include the probabilistic requirements in the draft IGF Code at this stage, or whether it was preferable to leave them

out and allow the SDC Sub-Committee to further develop them with a view to having them included at a later stage as an amendment to the IGF Code.

- 11.24 In this regard, the Committee had the following documents for its consideration:
 - .1 CCC 1/4/2 (Bahamas, Canada and United Kingdom), proposing that the proposed probabilistic calculation method should not be included in the draft IGF Code as it is not acceptable, in its current form, as an alternative to the deterministic provisions. It is also proposed that the existing SOLAS provisions for alternative design and arrangements can be applied to provide assurance on appropriate tank location for certain ship designs where the deterministic distances cannot be met; and
 - MSC 94/11/18 (Germany), recognizing that many of the validation analyses which have been completed with the objective of determining whether the outcome of SDC 1 on the draft protective tank location requirements is feasible and technically sound, do not support the draft requirements entirely. Consequently, it is proposed that the first version of the IGF Code be approved at MSC 94 containing the tank location criteria as per resolution MSC.285(86), allowing more time for the relevant Sub-Committee to further consider the proposed risk-based provisions for tank location, with a view to incorporating them in the IGF Code through an amendment.
- 11.25 In considering the above documents, the Committee noted the following views expressed on the issue of including or excluding the risk-based provisions for tank location in the draft IGF Code at this stage:
 - .1 the probabilistic tank location requirements should not be included in the draft IGF Code at this stage, as they require further development which should be undertaken by the SDC Sub-Committee;
 - .2 the protective tank location requirements were developed with the objective of providing the same level of safety for ships using low-flashpoint fuels as for ships using oil fuel as specified in SOLAS chapter II-2 and the greater the deviation from the requirements developed at SDC 1, the bigger the gap between the two safety levels;
 - .3 the Committee should focus on choosing a particular threshold value for the factor f_{CN} and the tank length limit, as requested by SDC 1;
 - .4 the protective tank location requirements should be finalized, including the probabilistic requirements, in order to provide the regulatory certainty that is required for investments in ship-building projects;
 - .5 the deterministic requirements are linked to the probabilistic requirements through the tank length limitation in order to ensure that they provide an equivalent level of safety;
 - the probabilistic requirements should be retained and decoupled from the deterministic requirements by deleting the tank length limitation in paragraph 5.3.3 of the draft IGF Code;

- .7 the availability of a deterministic and probabilistic alternative provides valuable flexibility in the ship design process which would not be available if the only alternative to the deterministic protective tank location criteria is to apply the procedures of alternative design and arrangements; and
- .8 probabilistic methods are powerful but complicated and require a lot of effort to be developed to a sufficient level of maturity, suggesting that the probabilistic protective tank location requirements of the draft IGF Code should be omitted at this stage as there are still many technical issues to resolve.
- 11.26 Having considered the views expressed and after a lengthy discussion, the Committee decided to proceed on the basis that the risk-based provisions should be retained in the draft IGF Code in combination with the removal of the tank length limitation in the deterministic requirements of the draft Code.
- 11.27 Subsequently, the Committee tasked the working group to revise the relevant parts of the draft IGF Code based on the above decision with a focus on agreeing on a threshold value for the factor f_{CN} and not reopening discussions on all aspects of the probabilistic protective tank location requirements. In this regard, the Committee also noted the view expressed by some delegations that with the deletion of the tank length limitation in the deterministic requirements, paragraph 5.3.3.2 of the draft IGF Code should be revised.
- 11.28 With regard to information and proposals on the threshold values in square brackets for the tank length limits in the deterministic requirements and the factor f_{CN} in the probabilistic requirements, the Committee had the following documents for its consideration:
 - .1 MSC 93/21/4 (France), indicating that the installation in the lower part of a passenger ship of one or several LNG tanks designed to reach the value $f_{CN} = 0.02$ does not necessarily imply an increase of the global risk level for the ship and the population on board, and proposing a value of $f_{CN} = 0.02$ for passenger ships and 0.04 for cargo ships;
 - .2 MSC 94/11/4 (Norway), suggesting that the conclusions contained in document MSC 93/21/4 are flawed, because a risk analysis was not carried out and the risk index (RI) is assumed erroneously to be equal to the sum of the severity index (SI) and the frequency index (FI), even though SI and FI are not defined on a logarithmic scale;
 - .3 MSC 94/INF.10 (Norway), containing the results of assessments of the additional risk for a gas-fuelled roll-on/roll-off passenger ship (RoPax), compared to a conventional oil-fuelled RoPax, due to the probability for the LNG fuel tank to be penetrated in a collision and the consequences of such a penetration;
 - .4 MSC 94/11/5 (France), complementing the rationale in document MSC 93/21/4 supporting $f_{CN}=0.02$ for passenger ships and $f_{CN}=0.04$ for cargo ships;
 - .5 MSC 94/INF.15 (France), containing a detailed analysis concerning the choice of the value of f_{CN}, including aspects of design feasibility, increase of length limits and their effects on probabilities and f_{CN}, equivalence metrics and the quantitative risk model contained in MSC 94/INF.10;

- .6 MSC 94/11/8 (Italy), providing considerations on the requirements for LNG tank location and dimensions and commenting on the proposals presented in documents MSC 94/11/3 and MSC 94/INF.10, as summarized below:
 - .1 verification results for 11 actual LNG-fuelled ship designs comprising several ship types and tank configurations addressing the adequacy of the square bracketed protective tank location limit values;
 - .2 the suggestion that clarifications need to be developed on how the application of the probabilistic calculation values should be applied when the tank arrangement involves dented tanks or lateral LNG handling systems;
 - .3 comments on the assessment of risks contained in document MSC 94/INF.10; and
 - .4 the proposal that the minimum distance between non-overlapping tanks proposed by Norway in document MSC 94/11/3 should have a maximum absolute value of 60 m;
- .7 MSC 94/INF.11 (Germany and CESA), providing information on the LNG tank configuration of 25 sample ships which are currently designed by German companies and/or constructed on German shipyards, in order to assess the deterministic tank length restrictions of the draft IGF Code. In addition, systematic design verifications for six typical cruise ship sizes are presented in order to verify the limitations and the maturity of the alternative probabilistic tank location approach;
- .8 MSC 94/11/7 (Canada, Marshall Islands and the United States), proposing the removal of the tank length limitation in paragraph 5.3.3.3 of the draft IGF Code, because it is inconsistent with previous applications of deterministic criteria and the deletion will not have a significant negative effect on the safety of a gas-fuelled ships, provided the fuel tanks are located inside B/5 and never located closer to the side than the limits found in paragraph 5.3.3.2 of the draft Code; and
- MSC 94/11/17 (Marshall Islands), supporting the removal of the tank length limitation from deterministic protective tank location requirements of the draft IGF Code. Additionally, it is proposed that the use of the probabilistic alternative method for fuel tank placement be limited to larger ships (i.e. ship L > 100 m). The proposal is based on a parametric study of proposed gas fuelled vessel designs indicating that the f_{CN} values for large ships yield similar results to the application of the deterministic criteria, unlike in smaller ships which should be required to comply with the deterministic method without the necessity to consider tank length limitations implied by the application of the probabilistic method.
- 11.29 In light of its decision to retain the probabilistic protective tank location requirements in the draft IGF Code in combination with the deletion of the tank length limitation in the deterministic requirements (see paragraphs 11.26 and 11.27), the Committee agreed to refer the aforementioned documents to the working group for further consideration.

Handling of more than one tank in the longitudinal direction

- 11.30 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/3 (Norway), proposing:
 - .1 an amendment to paragraph 5.3.4.6 (previously numbered 5.3.5.4 in annex 3 to document SDC 1/WP.5/Add.1/Rev.1) of the draft IGF Code to include a minimum longitudinal separation distance between two tanks in order to avoid fuel tanks being split into two simply to comply with the threshold:
 - .2 insertion, in the first sentence of paragraph 5.3.4 (previously numbered 5.3.5 in annex 3 to document SDC 1/WP.5/Add.1/Rev.1), of a reference to paragraph 5.3.3.2 (previously numbered 5.3.4.2 in annex 3 to document SDC 1/WP.5/Add.1/Rev.1); and
 - .3 the addition of a footnote to paragraph 5.3.4.2 (ft calculation) (previously numbered 5.3.4.2 in annex 3 to document SDC 1/WP.5/Add.1/Rev.1) stating that "when the outermost boundary of fuel tank is outside the boundary given by the deepest subdivision waterline the value of b (damage penetration) shall be taken as 0.".
- 11.31 In considering document MSC 94/11/3, the Committee decided to refer the document to the working group for further discussion.

Minimum distance criteria for fuel tanks at the turn of the bilge

- 11.32 Having recalled that MSC 93 had referred document MSC 93/21/3 to CCC 1 for consideration with a view to finalization, the Committee noted that CCC 1 had been unable to incorporate the interpretation contained in document MSC 93/21/3 and had agreed to refer it to MSC 94, as it was directly related to tank location and should be discussed at MSC 94 with other submissions on this issue.
- 11.33 Subsequently, the Committee considered document MSC 93/21/3 (France), proposing an interpretation for minimum distance criteria for fuel tanks, to be applied at the turn of the bilge area between the side and the bottom shell, and decided to refer it to the working group for further consideration.

Mandatory risk assessment

11.34 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/6 (CESA), suggesting that the draft IGF Code lacks clarity regarding the requirement for a risk assessment and whether such a requirement applies to all new buildings or only for those deviating from part A-1. If the latter is the case, it is proposed that section 4.2 be amended such that the conditions under which a risk assessment has to be performed are better defined, or chapter 4 be merged with section 2.3 which addresses deviations from part A-1 only. Additionally, it is suggested that the scope and methodology of the risk assessment to be performed has to be better defined in order to ensure a homogenous implementation worldwide.

- 11.35 In considering document MSC 94/11/6, the Committee noted the following views expressed on this matter:
 - .1 the risk assessment should be carried out for every new vessel designed to use low-flashpoint fuel;
 - .2 a risk assessment is not required if a ship complies with all prescriptive requirements of the draft IGF Code;
 - .3 the scope and methodology of the risk assessment could potentially be developed as guidelines and should not be included in the Code; and
 - .4 there is a need to clarify the relationships between goals, functional requirements and prescriptive requirements.
- 11.36 Having considered the above views, the Committee decided to refer the document to the working group for further consideration.

Regulations for gas fuel containment, approval by the Administration and air locks

- 11.37 The Committee had the following documents for its consideration:
 - .1 MSC 94/11/11 (Japan), proposing amendments to section 6.4 of part A-1 of the draft IGF Code in order to clarify and editorially refine requirements that apply to LNG only, such as the requirements for a secondary barrier for LNG containment, the design of the secondary barrier, the requirements for partial secondary barriers, and the requirement for permitting credit for hull heating;
 - .2 MSC 94/11/12 (Japan), proposing amendments to several paragraphs of the draft IGF Code relating to accidental design conditions, corrosion allowance, bunkering, overflow control, gas monitoring and detection, valve closing time and other editorial corrections with the objective of improving the clarity and technical terminology of the draft Code and achieving fidelity with the equivalent terminology and paragraphs in the IGC Code;
 - MSC 94/11/13 (Japan), proposing the addition, in particular paragraphs of the draft IGF Code and the deletion in others, as appropriate, of the phrase "or its recognized organization acting on its behalf", with the objective of aligning the IGF Code and the IGC Code with regard to the scope of special approval or acceptance by the Administration or its recognized organization acting on its behalf; and
 - MSC 94/11/14 (Japan), proposing that the term "airlocks" (i.e. single word without space or hyphen between air and locks) be used consistently throughout the draft IGF Code. A redrafted version of paragraph 15.12.9 on electrical equipment located in spaces protected by airlocks is also proposed together with the recommendation that the provisions for electrical equipment for airlocks in paragraphs 5.12.6 and 5.12.9 be moved under chapter 14 (Electrical installations) of the draft Code.
- 11.38 Having noted that the aforementioned documents proposed amendments to the draft IGF Code of a technical or editorial nature, the Committee agreed to refer the documents to the working group for further consideration.

Regulations for safety measures of fuel tanks

- 11.39 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/15 (Japan), proposing the following amendments to the draft IGF Code:
 - .1 delete paragraph 6.7.3.1.1.3 and add a new paragraph requiring tanks to be provided with at least three devices for indicating fuel temperature in order to manage the development of conditions that may lead to rollover;
 - .2 delete paragraphs 6.6.3 and 6.6.4.1 or clarify how to depressurize CNG tanks;
 - .3 delete paragraph 6.8.2 as it could potentially allow overflow of liquefied fuel from the fuel storage tank during normal operational conditions rather than during an external fire only; and
 - .4 amend paragraph 6.4.9.5.2 so the assumed flooding level considered for safety is "up to the summer load draught", as in the equivalent requirement in the IGC Code.
- 11.40 Following discussion, the Committee agreed not to pursue them further.

Definition of gas and stress categories

- 11.41 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/16 (Japan), proposing amendments to paragraph 2.2.17 and the first paragraph of part A-1 to clarify that gas may also exist in a supercritical state. In addition, it was proposed to introduce definitions of stress categories in chapter 6 of the draft IGF Code based on the definitions in the IGC Code.
- 11.42 In considering document MSC 94/11/16, the Committee noted that only the proposal to include definitions of stress categories in the draft IGF Code based on the definitions in the IGC Code received support. Consequently, the Committee referred only that part of document MSC 94/11/16 relating to definitions of stress categories to the working group for further consideration.

LNG Bunker Delivery Note

- 11.43 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/1 (Belgium, Italy and Norway), containing in the annex a standard form of an LNG Bunker Delivery Note (BDN), which includes the parameters defining gas specifications at delivery. The co-sponsors proposed that the standard form of the LNG BDN be included as an annex to the draft IGF Code and referenced in section 18.4.
- 11.44 In considering document MSC 94/11/1, the Committee noted the following views expressed on this matter:
 - .1 the proposed BDN should also include information on the sulphur content of the LNG fuel, however negligible, in order for LNG-fuelled ships to demonstrate compliance with MARPOL Annex VI regulation 14; and
 - .2 the term "Bunkering Company Name" on the proposed BDN requires clarification.
- 11.45 Following consideration of the above views and having noted general support for the proposed BDN, the Committee agreed to refer the document to the working group for further consideration.

LNG bunkering connectors

- 11.46 The Committee had for its consideration document MSC 94/11/2 (Denmark, Finland, Italy and Norway), proposing that ISO be invited to develop a new standard, or include provisions in an existing standard, defining:
 - .1 standard I for marine LNG quick bunkering connector size 2"- 6"; and
 - .2 standard II for marine LNG mechanical remotely operated connector larger than 6",

with a view to including a suitable reference in the draft IGF Code.

- 11.47 In considering document MSC 94/11/2, the Committee noted general support for the proposal to invite the ISO to develop a standard for LNG bunkering connectors, but not for the proposal to include a reference to such a standard in the draft IGF Code.
- 11.48 The observer from ISO advised the Committee that there are no published ISO standards on LNG vessels and that ISO 18683, referenced in document MSC 94/11/2, is a technical specification and not a standard. ISO reminded the Committee that, rather than footnoting individual pages of IMO instruments, it is better for ISO implementing standards to be published at the same time as related IMO instruments enter into force, as was the case for the ISPS Code. ISO expressed its readiness to publish an implementing standard to support entry into force of the IGF Code. The full statement by ISO is set out in annex 29.
- 11.49 Having considered the above matter, the Committee agreed to invite ISO to develop a standard for LNG bunkering connectors.

Remaining matters

- 11.50 Following consideration of all the documents relevant to the draft IGF Code and having noted that there was one remaining set of square brackets around the value of the distance of fuel pipes from the ship's side in paragraph 5.7.1 of the draft Code, the Committee agreed to refer this issue to the working group for further consideration.
- 11.51 The Committee noted the monitoring sheet and records for the draft IGF Code and the associated draft SOLAS amendments that had been prepared by CCC 1.
- 11.52 The Committee also noted that, following finalization of the draft IGF Code with regard to LNG, CCC 1 had re-established the IGF Correspondence Group according to the agreed work plan for the next phase of development of the Code.

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JOINT POLAR AND IGF CODES WORKING GROUP

- 11.53 Having considered all the policy-related matters, the Committee further instructed the Joint Working Group on the Polar and IGF Codes, established under agenda item 3 (see paragraph 3.42), taking into account comments and decisions made in plenary, to:
 - .1 finalize the draft SOLAS amendments, based on annex 1 to document CCC 1/13, taking into account document MSC 94/11/9 and MSC 94/11/10;
 - .2 finalize the draft International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or other Low-flashpoint Fuels (IGF Code), based on annex 4 to document CCC 1/13, taking into account relevant documents except document MSC 94/11/15 and the proposal in paragraph 6 of document MSC 94/11/16;

- .3 submit a written report to plenary by Thursday, 20 November 2014 with a view to approval of the draft SOLAS amendments and approval, in principle, of the IGF Code at this session; and
- .4 if necessary, continue working through the week on the IGF Code and submit the third part of the report to MSC 95 for consideration when adopting the Code and associated SOLAS amendments.

REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP

11.54 Having considered the part of the report of the working group (MSC 94/WP.7/Add.1) related to this item, the Committee approved the report in general and took action as described hereunder.

Draft amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols of 1978 and 1988

- 11.55 With regard to draft SOLAS regulation II-1/56.4 relating to gas carriers complying with the requirements of chapter 16 of the IGC Code (see also paragraphs 11.15 and 11.16), the observer from IACS stated that the understanding from the previous IACS intervention and discussion in plenary was that two codes (i.e. the IGC Code and the IGF Code), should not both be applied to gas carriers. The concern of IACS is that the wording of draft SOLAS regulation II-1/56.4 does not address the concerns raised in plenary and the understanding from the Committee discussions. IACS suggested that this could be resolved by a simple modification to the proposed SOLAS amendments to include the additional text", or other products covered by chapter 19 of the IGC Code", after the word "cargoes" in draft SOLAS regulation II-1/56.4.
- 11.56 Some delegations expressed concerns regarding the insertion by the group of new paragraph 5 in draft SOLAS regulation II-1/56 exempting sovereign immune vessels from the mandatory application of the IGF Code (see also paragraph 11.17), given that the requirements of the draft IGF Code address safety and there is no logical reason as to why the IGF Code should not apply to government owned ships beyond the exceptions in SOLAS regulation I/3. The same delegations reserved their position to address this issue at MSC 95.
- 11.57 Having noted the statement by IACS on the issue of the IGC Code and the above concerns regarding sovereign immune vessels, the Committee approved the draft amendments to SOLAS chapters II-1 and II-2 and the appendix to make the IGF Code mandatory, as set out in annex 19, and requested the Secretary-General to circulate them in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, with a view to adoption at MSC 95.
- 11.58 In this connection, the Committee also approved the draft amendments to the Protocols of 1978 and 1988 relating to SOLAS 1974, with regard to forms of certificates, in relation to the IGF Code, as set out in annexes 20 and 21, respectively, and requested the Secretary-General to circulate them in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, with a view to adoption at MSC 95.

Draft IGF Code

- 11.59 The Committee noted that the group had continued working through the week on the draft IGF Code and would submit the third part of its report to MSC 95 for consideration when adopting the draft IGF Code and the associated amendments to SOLAS and the 1978 and 1988 Protocols.
- 11.60 In this regard, the Committee approved, in principle, the draft International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or other Low-flashpoint Fuels (IGF Code) prepared by CCC 1, as set out in annex 22, with a view to adoption in conjunction with the adoption of the

associated draft amendments to SOLAS and the 1978 and 1988 Protocols, taking into account that the finalized text of the draft IGF Code will be submitted to MSC 95 in part 3 of the working group's report.

Instructions to the Secretariat

11.61 The Committee authorized the Secretariat, when preparing the final text of the IGF Code, the amendments to SOLAS and the 1978 and 1988 Protocols, to effect any editorial corrections that may be identified, as appropriate, including updating references to renumbered paragraphs, and to bring to the attention of MSC 95 any errors or omissions which would require further action.

12 CAPACITY BUILDING FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW MEASURES

General

12.1 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 (MSC 93/22, paragraph 14.3) had requested the Vice-Chairman of the Committee, in consultation with the Chairman and assisted by the Secretariat, to submit to MSC 94 a preliminary assessment of the capacity-building implications and technical assistance needs related to approved amendments to mandatory instruments and the new unplanned outputs related to mandatory instruments, which were approved at that session.

Assessment of capacity-building implications for the implementation of new measures

- 12.2 The Committee considered document MSC 94/12 (Vice-Chairman), providing the outcome of the preliminary assessment referred to above and noted that generally, updated legislation is required for most of the items considered under the draft amendments to mandatory instruments, whilst a number of items had capacity-building implications in terms of training or human resource development for the Administration. The Committee recalled that in both cases technical and/or legal support which may be necessary could be addressed through the Organization's Integrated Technical Cooperation Programme (ITCP).
- 12.3 Having considered the assessment of the items in annex 3 to document MSC 94/12, the Committee agreed that the outputs may need to be monitored in due course and concluded that it would not be necessary to establish the Ad Hoc Capacity-building Needs Analysis Group (ACAG) at this session.
- 12.4 The Committee requested the Vice-Chairman, in consultation with the Chairman and with the assistance of the Secretariat, to submit, to MSC 95, a preliminary assessment of the amendments to mandatory instruments and the new outputs related to proposed new measures, approved at this session.

13 FORMAL SAFETY ASSESSMENT, INCLUDING GENERAL CARGO SHIP SAFETY

General

13.1 The Committee recalled that MSC 93, having considered document MSC 93/15/1 (IACS), proposing to develop more specific procedures on how the sub-committees should be more clearly tasked to address risk control options (RCOs) referred to them from an FSA study, which had been positively reviewed by the FSA Expert Group and subsequently endorsed by the Committee, had decided that developing a specific procedure was necessary.

- 13.2 The Committee also recalled that MSC 93, having considered the recommendations in document MSC 93/15/2 and Corr.1 (ICS et al.) on the requirement for flag States to report accidents and incidents, noted the problem of under-reporting as well as the benefits to be gained from the analysis of casualty investigation report data and identified specific issues that might require further technical detailed consideration.
- 13.3 The Committee further recalled that the III Sub-Committee was instructed to consider the specific issues, as identified by MSC 93, and the guidance to be provided to the Secretariat on improved programmability and search functions of the GISIS module on marine casualties and incidents (MCI), and advise MSC 94 on how best to proceed (MSC 94/13, paragraphs 3 to 5). In this regard, the Committee noted that matters related to reporting of accidents and incidents would be further considered by III 2 and reported to the Committee, as appropriate.
- 13.4 In this respect, the observer from IACS stated that, as one of the co-sponsors of document MSC 93/15/2, which discussed the issue of the under-reporting of accidents and incidents, IACS was concerned that paragraph 3 of document MSC 94/13 qualified this under-reporting as "alleged", and that, based on three peer-reviewed papers as explained in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 of document MSC 93/15/2, the degree of under-reporting was clearly quantified. IACS considered it important that this issue of addressing the under-reporting of accidents and incidents should be taken forward, and the ongoing use of the term "alleged" should not be construed as a reason for inaction. If it could not be resolved now, IACS hoped, at least, that the III Sub-Committee, in the work it was undertaking on this issue, would soon be in a position to recommend to the Committees that this qualification should be removed.

New procedure for considering and reviewing the outcome of FSA studies

- 13.5 The Committee noted the information in document MSC 94/13 (Secretariat), reporting on the outcome of MSC 93 related to developing a new specific procedure for considering and reviewing the outcome of FSA studies.
- 13.6 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/13/1 (IACS), proposing to supplement the criteria in subparagraphs 5, 6 and 7 of paragraph 4.14 of the Committee's guidelines (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.2) by adding that the Committee may decide that a comprehensive FSA study needs to be carried out in accordance with the FSA guidelines (MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12) and insert a new paragraph in appendix 10 to the FSA guidelines, requesting the Committee to set up terms of reference for a working group, which is responsible for coordinating the implementation of the results of an FSA study;
 - MSC 94/13/2 (United States), proposing to add two new paragraphs to section 4 on Work planning and delivery process of, and a new annex to, the Committees' guidelines, to ensure that the information from FSA studies is considered equivalent to submissions for unplanned outputs, and suggesting to amend the FSA guidelines to ensure that RCOs can be written in SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, time-bound) terms; and
 - .3 MSC 94/13/3 (China), providing the principles on how sub-committees should further address the endorsed RCOs and, in this context, proposing to insert a new paragraph in appendix 10 to the FSA guidelines (MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12).

- 13.7 In considering the above documents, the Committee, having noted the following views:
 - .1 that the above documents should be forwarded to the GBS/FSA Working Group for further discussion, using document MSC 94/13/2 as a basis;
 - that an FSA study, even though it had been positively reviewed by the FSA Expert Group and subsequently endorsed by the Committee(s), should not be deemed as mandatory for a new unplanned output;
 - .3 concerns were expressed regarding the proposal (MSC 94/13/1) for the Committee to set up terms of reference for a working group, which would be responsible for coordinating the implementation of the results of an FSA study, when the Committee decides that a comprehensive FSA study needs to be carried out; and
 - .4 all decisions taken by the Committee regarding unplanned outputs should be forwarded to the Council.

decided to forward the above documents, using document MSC 94/13/2 as a basis, to the GBS/FSA Working Group for detailed consideration.

Instruction to the GBS/FSA Working Group

- 13.8 Having considered the above matters, the Committee further instructed the GBS/FSA Working Group, established under agenda item 5 (see paragraph 5.7), using document MSC 94/13/2 as a basis and taking into account documents MSC 93/15/1, MSC 94/13, MSC 94/13/1 and MSC 94/13/3 and the comments made in plenary, to:
 - .1 develop draft guidelines for the Committee on consideration and review of the outcome of FSA studies and prepare related draft amendments to the Committees' guidelines (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3); and
 - .2 prepare draft amendments to the Revised guidelines for Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) for use in the IMO rule-making process (MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12).

EMSA 3 study related to survivability of passenger ships

13.9 With regard to the MSC 93's agreement that there would be a need for the FSA Experts Group to validate the EMSA 3 study related to survivability of passenger ships and that this could be done by authorizing a meeting of the FSA Experts Group a day prior to SDC 3 in 2016, the observer from EC proposed that the Committee should instruct SDC 2 to consider the timing of the FSA Experts Group so that SDC 3 would have sufficient time to consider the outcome of the group. The Committee endorsed the proposal and requested the Secretariat to inform SDC 2 to consider the matter and advise MSC 95 accordingly.

Review of general cargo ship safety

13.10 In considering the outcome of III 1 related to the review of general cargo ship safety, the Committee noted the progress made on extended survey on general cargo ships (RCO 19) and port State control inspector training for general cargo ships (RCO 20). With regard to the Sub-Committee's request to consider whether there was a need to identify a coordinating organ for the output on "Review of general cargo ship safety", the Committee decided to consider this matter under agenda item 18 (Work programme) (see paragraph 18.12).

Report of the GBS/FSA Working Group

13.11 Having considered the part of the report of the GBS/FSA Working Group (MSC 94/WP.8/Add.1) dealing with this agenda item, the Committee took action as outlined hereunder.

Draft Guidelines for the Committee on consideration and review of the outcome of FSA studies

- 13.12 The Committee noted that the group, having considered the draft new procedure for considering and reviewing the outcomes of FSA studies, as set out in the annex to document MSC 94/13/2, agreed to replace the term "procedure" with "guidelines", and endorsed the group's decision.
- 13.13 Following the discussion, the Committee approved the draft guidelines for considering and reviewing the outcomes of FSA studies, as set out in annex 23, for inclusion in the Committees' guidelines as a new annex 6, subject to concurrent approval by MEPC 68 (see also paragraph 13.14).

Amendments to the Committees' Guidelines (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3)

- 13.14 The Committee approved the draft amendments to existing section 4 of the Guidelines on the organization and method of work of the Maritime Safety Committee and the Marine Environment Protection Committee and their subsidiary bodies (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3) and draft new annex 6, containing the guidelines for considering and reviewing the outcomes of FSA studies, as MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.4, as set out in annex 23, subject to concurrent approval by MEPC 68.
- 13.15 In view of the above, the Committee requested the Secretariat to forward the draft amendments to the Committees' guidelines to MEPC 68 for concurrent approval. In addition, the Committee invited the Council to note the approved draft amendments to the Committees' guidelines (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3).

Amendments to the FSA Guidelines (MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12)

- 13.16 The Committee noted that the group did not agree to the draft amendments to appendix 10 of the FSA guidelines proposed in documents MSC 94/13/1 and MSC 94/13/3, but supported the proposal to ensure that RCOs are written in SMART terms (MSC 94/13/2).
- 13.17 In connection with the above, the Committee approved the draft amendments to paragraph 9.3.3 of the *Revised guidelines for Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) for use in the IMO rule-making process* (MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12), as MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12/Rev.1, as set out in annex 24, subject to concurrent approval by MEPC 68.
- 13.18 The Committee requested the Secretariat to forward the draft amendments to the FSA guidelines to MEPC 68 for concurrent approval.

14 PIRACY AND ARMED ROBBERY AGAINST SHIPS

Piracy and armed robbery against ships in the Gulf of Guinea and in waters off the coast of Somalia

- 14.1 The Committee, in considering document MSC 94/14 (Secretariat), noted the continuing positive developments in the suppression of piracy and armed robbery in the waters off the coast of Somalia and the wider Western Indian Ocean but remained concerned about the seafarers still being held hostage.
- 14.2 The Committee also noted that reports to the Organization of attacks in the Gulf of Guinea showed a downward trend indicating that the international, regional and national efforts are beginning to take effect, and reiterated the importance of reporting incidences by flag States and industry organizations.
- 14.3 The Committee noted that the Maritime Trade Information Sharing Centre (MTISC), currently located in the Regional Maritime University in Accra, Ghana, was now operational on a trial basis and currently had over 500 ships per month reporting to it. The work of the MTISC is complementary to that of the Interregional Coordination Centre (ICC) in Yaoundé, with the ICC overseeing implementation of the Code of Conduct by ECCAS, ECOWAS, the GGC and Member States in the region at the strategic level and the MTISC handling the civilian information exchange and maritime situational awareness aspects.
- 14.4 The Committee noted that the MTISC website (www.mtisc-gog.org) was now live and offers the related guidance recently developed by the MTISC Gulf of Guinea participants. The newly updated version of the *Guidelines for Owners, Operators and Masters for Protection Against Piracy in the Gulf of Guinea Region*, developed jointly by BIMCO, ICS, INTERTANKO and INTERCARGO is also available via the IMO website as well as on the websites of those organizations.
- 14.5 The observer from BIMCO recommended full use of the MTISC by ships in the region so that a full picture of the scale of the situation in the region could be developed.
- 14.6 The Committee noted that the Secretary-General had forwarded the draft interim guidelines addressing welfare of seafarers and their families affected by Somalia-based piracy (MSC 93/16/1 (Italy et al.)) to the International Labour Organization (ILO) for its review and further action, as requested by the Committee at its last session, and that the Director General of ILO had advised the Secretary-General that ILO will consult with the representative organizations of shipowners and seafarers as well as employers and workers in the fishing industry to obtain their views on the best way to proceed with these interim guidelines, and that any further developments will be reported to the Committee.
- 14.7 The Committee noted that a significant number of Contracting Governments had not yet supplied the information requested in MSC-FAL.1/Circ.2 on Questionnaire on information on port and coastal State requirements related to privately contracted armed security personnel (PCASP) and urged Contracting Governments that had not submitted the information to do so.
- 14.8 The Committee expressed its appreciation for the contributions received for the IMO West and Central Africa Maritime Security Trust Fund (the Trust Fund) from China, Japan, Nigeria, Norway, the United Kingdom and most recently Angola.
- 14.9 The Committee concluded this part of the item by urging Member States to support the implementation of IMO projects on maritime security for west and central Africa by financially contributing to the Trust Fund.

- 14.10 With respect to piracy off the coast of Somalia, the Committee noted United Nations Security Council Resolution 2184 (2014) on the situation in Somalia, adopted on 12 November, which, inter alia, recognized the contribution of IMO and renewed its call upon States to deploy naval vessels to the area and underlined the primary responsibility of Somali authorities in the fight against piracy and armed robbery off their coast.
- 14.11 The delegation of Egypt, supported by the delegation of Oman, while expressing appreciation to countries providing counter piracy support in the region, also expressed concern that the extent of the High Risk Area, as defined in BMP 4 and the associated Admiralty piracy chart Q6099, was incorrect.
- 14.12 The Committee welcomed the information that the EUNAVFOR and NATO mandates had been extended to the end of 2016 and reiterated its view that it was also important that IMO guidance and best management practices continue to be implemented diligently.
- 14.13 The Committee recalled that the successful project to implement the Djibouti Code of Conduct, as an independent project, would conclude in early 2015. In anticipation of this, and with effect from 1 November 2014, the work of the DCoC Project Implementation Unit had transitioned to the Maritime Safety Division and was being absorbed into that division's core activities.

National legislation on Private Maritime Security Companies (PMSC)

- 14.14 The Committee had for its consideration the following documents:
 - .1 MSC 94/14/1 (Germany), proposing to amend MSC.1/Circ.1406/Rev.2 in such a way that national PMSC licences equivalent or beyond ISO 28007 receive the same recognition in IMO guidance as ISO 28007 accredited certifications; and
 - .2 MSC 94/INF.4 (Germany), providing further detail on Germany's national accreditation process in support of the proposal contained in MSC 94/14/1 (Germany).
- 14.15 The Committee recalled that it was agreed at the last session that the work of ISO in developing ISO PAS 28007 should be reflected and referenced appropriately in IMO guidance on PMSC in MSC.1/Circ.1406/Rev.2, but that it would require some careful consideration of the wording in order to accommodate proposals made in Plenary.
- 14.16 While many delegations supported the German proposal, in principle, there was concern that the current wording did not provide the necessary degree of flexibility to flag States and that further work on the wording was required.
- 14.17 Some delegations expressed the view that, while ISO PAS 28007 was a useful and welcome means of ensuring quality of armed security services on board ships, it should not be considered to be equivalent to a national standard as the latter takes priority.
- 14.18 After considering Germany's proposal (MSC 94/14/1) and discussing the matter of amending MSC.1/Circ.1406/Rev.2, the Committee again acknowledged the work of ISO and the need to reflect it appropriately and agreed to invite Member States and observer organizations to submit proposals to amend MSC.1/Circ.1406/Rev.2 to the next session of the Committee incorporating references to the ISO standard 28007 (i.e. when it becomes a standard) and to relevant national standards, while allowing for the necessary flexibility for flag States in deciding their policy in regulating armed guards on board their ships.

Guidelines for the use of PCASP on board ships

14.19 The Committee noted the draft guidelines and standards regarding the use of PCASP on board merchant vessels developed by the United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI) with the support of the Italian Government (MSC 94/INF.5).

Regulating "floating armouries"

- 14.20 The Committee considered document MSC 94/14/2 (India) expressing India's concerns about the increasing number of floating armouries operating in the Indian Ocean region and the need to develop guidelines for regulating them.
- 14.21 The Committee, while sympathetic to India's concerns, noted different views expressed by Member States on the legal implications of the proposals.
- 14.22 The observer from ITF, while also understanding India's concern, stated that the use of armed guards was necessary in order to protect seafarers and in this regard appealed to the authorities in India to release the crew of the **MV Seaman Guard Ohio**. The full text of the statement is set out in annex 29.
- 14.23 The Committee concluded by inviting Member Governments and international organizations to submit proposals to the next session in this respect.

Progress report of the Regional Cooperation Agreement on Combating Piracy and Armed Robbery against ships in Asia (ReCAAP) Information Sharing Centre (ISC)

14.24 The Committee noted the information provided in document MSC 94/INF.7 (ReCAAP-ISC) providing an update on the activities carried out by the ReCAAP-ISC and the situation of piracy and armed robbery against ships in Asia for the period during January to June 2014, including the action taken by some of its members with respect to those found to be responsible for fuel siphoning cases that had been widely reported in the media. The full text of the statement is set out in annex 29.

15 IMPLEMENTATION OF INSTRUMENTS AND RELATED MATTERS

Clarification on an audible alarm and a visual or other device which will alert the user of breathing apparatus for fire-fighting outfit

- 15.1 The Committee considered document MSC 94/15 (IACS) seeking clarifications on the requirement for "an audible alarm and a visual or other device which will alert the user" referred to in paragraph 2.1.2.2 of chapter 3 of the FSS Code, as adopted by resolution MSC.339(91), which entered into force on 1 July 2014, and proposing that the low-pressure audible alarm together with a pressure indicator should be considered as complying with the referred requirement and agreed to issue an MSC circular containing the above interpretation with a view to avoiding possible different interpretations among flag Administrations, ROs, PSC officers, shipowners, manufacturers, etc. in future.
- 15.2 Having considered document MSC 94/WP.13, the Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1499 on *Unified interpretation of chapter 3 of the FSS Code*.

Notification and circulation through GISIS – Reporting requirements under IMO safety-related instruments

15.3 The Committee noted the information provided in document MSC 94/15/1 (Secretariat) and urged Member States to use GISIS for notification purposes, in particular those related to exemptions, equivalents and specimens of certificates, and to only request the Secretariat to issue a separate circular in exceptional circumstances.

Guidance on drafting of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments

- 15.4 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 had approved MSC.1/Circ.1481 on *Guidance* on entry into force of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments and MSC.1/Circ.1483 on Interim guidance on drafting of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments (the interim guidance). In doing so, the Committee had agreed that a working group should be established at this session to continue the work related to remaining issues, with draft terms of reference, as set out in paragraph 14 of document MSC 93/WP.5/Add.1, and to prepare clear instructions to SSE 2 with regard to the issues needing consideration in relation to the scope of application of the LSA Code (MSC 93/22, paragraphs 17.25 to 17.31).
- 15.5 In the context of the above, the Committee had for its consideration documents MSC 94/15/2 and MSC 94/INF.12 (Germany and the United Kingdom), providing recommendations on a number of issues that needed to be addressed as part of the interim guidance, and MSC 94/15/3 (Secretariat), providing clarifications on the amendments procedure specified under article VIII of the 1974 SOLAS Convention and on the status of footnotes.
- 15.6 The Committee agreed, in general, with the proposals contained in documents MSC 94/15/2 and MSC 94/INF.12 and referred the documents to the working group for further consideration.
- 15.7 With respect to document MSC 94/15/3, the Committee agreed with the proposal for clarifying the approval process of amendments and referred it to the working group for inclusion in the drafting guidance. The Committee further agreed that, notwithstanding the provisions of resolution A.911(22), footnotes should not be included in the authentic text of future amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention or any of its related mandatory instruments and that all mandatory provisions should be included in the main body of the regulations.
- 15.8 The Committee noted views expressed that further consideration should be given to the application of the proposed guidance to the IMDG and the IMSBC Codes and agreed that the working group should consider this aspect further.

Establishment of the working group

- 15.9 After consideration, the Committee established a Working Group on Drafting of amendments to SOLAS and related mandatory instruments and instructed it, taking into account comments, proposals and decisions made in plenary, as well as documents MSC 94/15/2, MSC 94/15/3, MSC 94/INF.12 and MSC 93/WP.5/Add.1 (annex 3), to:
 - .1 revise MSC.1/Circ.1483 on *Interim guidance on drafting of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments* and consider and address, as part of the guidance, the following issues, as appropriate:

- .1 the relationships among regulations, codes and performance standards:
- .2 the format of application dates, including application date for equipment specifications;
- .3 the methodology for recording progress using the check/monitoring sheet;
- .4 the clarification of the requirement for approval of draft amendments prior to adoption; and
- .5 the use of footnotes as part of the authentic text and certified copies of adopted amendments,

and make any necessary editorial improvements to the guidance, as appropriate;

- .2 prepare instructions to SSE 2 with regard to the issues needing consideration in relation to the scope of application of the LSA Code, the result of which should be submitted for consideration to MSC 95, as an urgent matter; and
- .3 submit a partial written report at this session, for consideration of any actions requiring decisions at this session, and, if necessary, continue working through the week, and submit a final written report to MSC 95.

Report of the working group

- 15.10 Having considered the report of the working group (MSC 94/WP.9), the Committee approved it in general and took action as indicated hereunder.
- 15.11 The Committee requested the Secretariat to develop a new module on GISIS on "Development of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments", in order to keep records of the development of draft amendments, and agreed that the information contained in the above module should be accessible to all Member States and Organizations in consultative status.
- 15.12 The Committee approved MSC.1/Circ.1500 on *Guidance on drafting of amendments* to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments and instructed its subsidiary bodies to start using the guidance with immediate effect. The Committee invited MEPC 68 to note the approval of the above guidance.
- 15.13 In this context, the delegation of Germany drew the Committee's attention that MSC 93 had considered a proposal to revise SOLAS chapter II-2 (MSC 93/17/6 (Germany)) and had agreed that the revision of the chapter should be considered after finalizing the drafting guidance (MSC 93/22, paragraphs 17.21 and 17.22).
- 15.14 The Committee instructed SSE 2 to follow the guidance provided in paragraphs 4.1.6 and 4.2.6 of MSC.1/Circ.1500 when finalizing amendments to the LSA Code.
- 15.15 The Committee noted that the above guidance should not apply, in its entirety, to the IMDG and the IMSBC Codes and to the cargo related part of the IBC and IGC Codes.

16 RELATIONS WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

16.1 The Committee (MSC 94/16) noted the decisions of C 112 concerning relations with non-governmental organizations and applications for consultative status and related matters.

17 REVIEW AND REFORM OF THE ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE'S GUIDELINES

Application of the Committee's Guidelines

17.1 The Committee noted that MEPC 67 (MSC 94/2/4) concurrently approved the draft amendments to the Committees' guidelines, as approved by MSC 93 (MSC 93/22, annex 26), and that the revised guidelines have been disseminated as MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3, noting that the amended provisions would be applicable to submissions to MSC 95 and all sub-committee meetings thereafter.

Proposed changes to IMODOCS

- 17.2 The Committee noted that, as requested by MSC 93 (MSC 93/22, paragraph 19.8), the Secretariat (MSC 94/17) has created a "pink paper" enhancement function in the IMODOCS system, which allows documents within the system to be highlighted with a pink background to indicate that the document is a proposed amendment to a mandatory IMO instrument approved for adoption. The "pink paper" functionality is available in the "Circular Letters" and "Meeting documents" sections of IMODOCS, for those containing approved amendments for adoption by the Committees and those containing proposals for modifications to approved amendments.
- 17.3 In this connection, the Committee, having noted that MEPC 67 had endorsed the actions taken by the Secretariat and that consequential amendments are already set out in paragraph 6.4 of the Committee's guidelines (MSC 93/22, annex 26), concurred with the actions taken by the Secretariat.

Review and reform of the Organization

- 17.4 The Committee, having recalled that MSC 92 (MSC 92/26, paragraph 23.30) agreed to consider the impact of the review and reform programme at this session, considered document MSC 94/17/1 (Secretariat), containing an objective assessment of the impact on the work of the Organization of the review and reform programme and making recommendations based on the experience gained to date for matters under the purview of the Committee.
- 17.5 In considering the assessment, the Committee noted:
 - .1 the beneficial impact that the new working methods had on the efficiency of the Organization including, but not limited to, less time conducting re-elections, reduction of translation work during meetings, quicker processing of submissions for subsidiary body meetings and reduced size of Secretariat documents:
 - .2 that 23 outputs (excluding annual and continuous outputs) from the HLAP for this biennium had been completed by the restructured sub-committees in 2014, taking into account that MSC 93 approved three new unplanned outputs and expanded one existing output; and
 - .3 that the NCSR and SDC Sub-Committees still have heavy agendas for their forthcoming sessions in 2015.

- 17.6 In considering the best practices employed by NCSR 1 (MSC 94/17/1, paragraph 5), the Committee noted that the procedures specified in paragraph 6.3 of MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3 are already in effect and could be utilized by a Chairman, when deemed appropriate.
- 17.7 In regard to the recommendations related to the heavy agenda of SDC 1 (MSC 94/17/1, paragraphs 7 and 8), the Committee, having noted that the Chairmen of SDC and SSE Sub-Committees had not been fully consulted prior to the document's release, decided to further consider the recommendation to transfer SOLAS chapter II-2 related issues from the SDC Sub-Committee to the SSE Sub-Committee at MSC 95.
- 17.8 Subsequently, Member Governments were invited submit comments and proposals on the above matter to MSC 95.

18 WORK PROGRAMME

AMENDMENTS TO CONTINUOUS OUTPUTS IDENTIFIED BY C/ES.27

- 18.1 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 had decided to consider the request of C/ES.27 to MSC and MEPC to review the outputs contained in annex 2, part A, of document C/ES.27/3, in order to examine if they could be more clearly identified.
- 18.2 The Committee, after considering document MSC 94/18/11 (Secretariat), agreed subject to the concurrent decision of MEPC 68, to amend outputs 5.3.1.1, 10.0.1.1 and 10.0.1.2, as follows:
 - .1 replace the description for output 5.3.1.1: "Harmonization of port State control (PSC) activities" with the following text: "Measures to harmonize port State control (PSC) activities and procedures worldwide";
 - .2 replace the description for output 10.0.1.1: "Goal-based new ship construction standards for tankers and bulk carriers" with the following text: "Verified goal-based new ship construction standards for tankers and bulk carriers"; and
 - .3 replace the description for output 10.0.1.2: "Goal-based ship construction standards for all types of ships, including safety, security and protection of the marine environment" with the following text: "Consideration of development of goal-based ship construction standards for all ship types".

SUB-COMMITTEE ON CARRIAGE OF CARGOES AND CONTAINERS (CCC)

Development of safety requirements for carriage of liquefied hydrogen in bulk

18.3 The Committee considered document MSC 94/18/3 (Australia and Japan), proposing to develop safety requirements for carriage of liquefied hydrogen in bulk and to amend the IGC Code, and agreed to include, in the 2014-2015 biennial agenda of the CCC Sub-Committee and the provisional agenda of CCC 2, a new unplanned output on "Safety requirements for carriage of liquefied hydrogen in bulk", with a target completion date of 2016.

Review SOLAS regulation VI/2 to include requirements for the retention of cargoes test certificates on board the ship

18.4 The Committee considered document MSC 94/18/4 (Democratic People's Republic of Korea), proposing to amend SOLAS regulation VI/2 to require the retention on board the ship of the test certificates of group A cargoes, except for those ships which are specially constructed or fitted for the carriage of such cargoes, for the purpose of verification by port State control officers. The Committee decided that the proposal placed an unnecessary administrative burden to the ship's crew , and agreed not to include the proposed output in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee.

Biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and provisional agenda for CCC 2

- 18.5 The Committee approved the Sub-Committee's biennial status report and the provisional agenda for CCC 2, as set out in annexes 25 and 26, respectively.
- 18.6 With regard to the output on "Amendments to the IGF Code and development of guidelines for low-flashpoint fuels" (5.2.1.2), the Chairman of the CCC Sub-Committee stated that, under the agenda item, the Sub-Committee would also consider matters related to LNG, based on experience gained by the IGF Code to be adopted at MSC 95, in addition to low-flashpoint fuels other than LNG, which should be considered in the second phase of the IGF Code. The Committee endorsed this approach.

SUB-COMMITTEE ON HUMAN ELEMENT, TRAINING AND WATCHKEEPING (HTW)

Clarification and harmonization of the requirements for escape route signs and equipment location markings in SOLAS and related instruments

18.7 The Committee recalled that, following consideration of document MSC 94/18/6 (United States and ISO), it had agreed to include in the biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and the provisional agenda of SSE 2 an unplanned output on "Revision of requirements for escape route signs and equipment location markings in SOLAS and related instruments", with a target completion date of 2016, in association with the HTW Sub-Committee as and when requested by the SSE Sub-Committee.

Revision of the Guidelines on Fatique

18.8 The Committee considered document MSC 94/18/7 (Australia et al.), proposing to review MSC/Circ.1014 on *Guidelines on fatigue mitigations and management*, and agreed to include, in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee, a new output on "Revision of the guidelines on fatigue", assigning the HTW Sub-Committee as the coordinating organ, and instructed HTW 2 to give preliminary consideration to the new output under its existing agenda item "Role of the human element", and place it on the agenda for HTW 3.

Biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and provisional agenda for HTW 2

18.9 The Committee confirmed the Sub-Committee's biennial status report and the provisional agenda for HTW 2, as set out in annexes 25 and 26, respectively.

SUB-COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF IMO INSTRUMENTS (III)

Outcome of III 1

- 18.10 The Committee recalled that, following consideration of the outcome of III 1, MEPC 67, while approving the biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and the provisional agenda for III 2, subject to the concurrent decision of MSC 94, had not agreed to include either the existing output 1.1.1.1 on "Cooperate with the United Nations on matters of mutual interest, as well as provide relevant input/guidance", or a new output on "Consideration of the recommendations of the third meeting of the Joint FAO/IMO Ad Hoc Working Group on IUU Fishing and Related Matters (JWG)", therein.
- 18.11 The Committee agreed that consideration of the report of the Joint FAO/IMO Ad Hoc Working Group on IUU fishing and related matters (JWG) would be maintained under the scope of MSC and MEPC, and not at Sub-Committee level and that MSC would address it under output 1.1.1.1.
- 18.12 The Committee agreed that there was no need to identify a coordinating organ for the existing output 5.2.1.3 on "Review of general cargo ship safety", as the Committee itself would act as coordinating organ.
- 18.13 The Committee concurred with the recommendations of NCSR 1 and III 1 to keep the output 5.1.2.2 on "Measures to protect the safety of persons rescued at sea" in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee with two sessions needed for completion.

Biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and provisional agenda for III 2

18.14 The Committee approved the Sub-Committee's biennial status report and the provisional agenda for III 2, as set out in annexes 25 and 26, respectively.

SUB-COMMITTEE ON NAVIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS AND SEARCH AND RESCUE (NCSR)

Modernization of ECDIS for VHF communication

18.15 The Committee, having considered document MSC 94/18/2 (Ukraine), proposing to integrate ECDIS and VHF DSC, and taking into account that the compelling need of the proposal had not been established, agreed not to include the proposed output in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee

Development and implementation of e-navigation

- 18.16 The Committee considered document MSC 94/18/8 (Australia et al.), proposing the plan of work for the Organization for the harmonized implementation and future development of the e-navigation, together with document MSC 94/18/10 (Norway), and, recognizing the importance of e-navigation and that the Organization should take a leading role and, on the basis of a proposal by Singapore, invited Member Governments to:
 - .1 review each of the tasks listed in the SIP with a view to reducing the numbers of outputs;
 - .2 prepare a full justification for each reviewed output in accordance with the information required in annex 3 to resolution A.1062(28);

- .3 prepare a comprehensive prioritized plan of work, which should include the time required for the completion of each output; and
- .4 submit the information to MSC 95 for consideration with a view for inclusion in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee.
- 18.17 In this context, the delegation of Norway agreed to coordinate the work with interested parties and submit a revised proposal for consideration at MSC 95.

Measures to protect the safety of persons rescued at sea

18.18 The Committee recalled that, when considering the outcome of III 1, it had agreed to keep the output 5.1.2.2 on "Measures to protect the safety of persons rescued at sea" in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee with two sessions needed for completion (see paragraph 18.13).

Biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and provisional agenda for NCSR 2

18.19 The Committee approved the Sub-Committee's biennial status report and the provisional agenda for NCSR 2, as set out in annexes 25 and 26, respectively.

SUB-COMMITTEE ON SHIP DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION (SDC)

Computerized stability support for the master in case of flooding

18.20 The Committee recalled that, following consideration of document MSC 94/6/1 (see paragraph 6.8), it had agreed to include a new output in the post-biennial agenda of the Committee on "Computerized stability support for the master in case of flooding for existing passenger ships", assigning the SDC Sub-Committee as the coordinating organ, with a view to including provisions in SOLAS chapter II-1 for ships constructed before 1 January 2014.

Biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and the provisional agenda for SDC 2

18.21 The Committee approved the Sub-Committee's biennial status report and the provisional agenda for SDC 2, as set out in annexes 25 and 26, respectively.

SUB-COMMITTEE ON SHIP SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT (SSE)

Reconsideration of the flashpoint requirements for oil fuel in SOLAS

- 18.22 The Committee considered document MSC 94/18/5 (Canada and the United States), proposing to lower the allowable minimum flashpoint for oil fuel in SOLAS chapter II-2 from 60°C to 52°C to be consistent with widely available automotive diesel fuels, and to amend regulation II-2/3 to define oil fuels, together with the comments provided in document MSC 94/18/9 (Denmark). In considering document MSC 94/18/5, the Committee noted the following concerns expressed by some delegations:
 - .1 the reference to the 60°C is used in different mandatory IMO instruments and, therefore, a detailed evaluation on its consequences prior to making amendments to SOLAS Convention and other instruments is needed:
 - .2 this subject cannot be treated in isolation, as the regulations governing products carried as bunkers must remain consistent with the regulations governing the same products carried as cargo; and

- apart from the issue of inerting of cargo, many automotive fuels contain a percentage of biodiesel, which when carried as a fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) cargo, whether a pure product or in a blend, is subject to the IBC Code regulations governing toxic products with regard to piping and venting arrangements. Therefore, the PPR Sub-Committee should be involved in the consideration of the impact on the IBC code.
- 18.23 Having recognized that the above concerns need to be addressed, the Committee agreed to include, in the 2014-2015 biennial agenda of the SSE Sub-Committee and the provisional agenda of SSE 2, a new unplanned output on "Review of flashpoint requirements for oil fuel in SOLAS chapter II-2", with a target completion date of 2016.

Clarification and harmonization of the requirements for escape route signs and equipment location markings in SOLAS and related instruments

18.24 The Committee considered document MSC 94/18/6 (United States and ISO), proposing to clarify and harmonize existing requirements for escape route signs and equipment location markings in SOLAS regulations II-2/13, III/11 and III/20, to develop a new chapter of the FSS Code for this purpose, and to review related non-mandatory instruments for potential consolidation or consequential amendment. Subsequently, the Committee agreed to include, in the 2014-2015 biennial agenda of the SSE Sub-Committee and in the provisional agenda for SSE 2, a new unplanned output on "Revision of requirements for escape route signs and equipment location markings in SOLAS and related instruments", with a target completion date of 2016, assigning the SSE Sub-Committee as the coordinating organ, in association with the HTW Sub-Committee as and when requested by the SSE Sub-Committee.

In-service testing of automatic sprinkler systems

18.25 The Committee recalled that, following consideration of documents MSC 94/20/2 (Bahamas) and MSC 94/WP.12 (see paragraph 8.25), it had agreed to include in the 2014-2015 biennial agenda of the SSE Sub-Committee and in the provisional agenda for SSE 2, a new unplanned output on "Revision of requirements for automatic sprinkler systems", with a target completion date of 2015.

Biennial status report of the Sub-Committee and provisional agenda for SSE 2

18.26 The Committee approved the Sub-Committee's biennial status report and the provisional agenda for SSE 2, as set out in annexes 25 and 26, respectively. In this connection, the Committee authorized SSE 2 to decide which urgent matters should be reported to MSC 95.

ENDORSEMENT OF OUTPUTS

- 18.27 In accordance with the relevant provisions of the *Guidelines on the application of the Strategic Plan and the High-level Action Plan of the Organization* (resolution A.1062(28)), the Committee, having agreed to the sub-committees' biennial agendas and the provisional agendas for their forthcoming sessions, invited the Council to endorse, for inclusion in the current High-level Action Plan, the following unplanned outputs agreed by the Committee:
 - .1 performance review and audit of LRIT Data Centres (paragraph 9.13);
 - .2 safety requirements for carriage of liquefied hydrogen in bulk (paragraph 18.3);

- .3 review of flashpoint requirements for oil fuel in SOLAS chapter II-2 (paragraph 18.24);
- .4 revision of requirements for escape route signs and equipment location markings in SOLAS and related instruments (paragraph 18.25); and
- .5 revision of requirements for automatic sprinkler systems (paragraph 18.26).

BIENNIAL STATUS REPORT OF THE MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE

18.28 The Committee invited the Council to note the biennial status report of the Maritime Safety Committee, as set out in annex 27.

POST-BIENNIAL AGENDA OF THE MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE

18.29 The Committee invited the Council to note the updated post-biennial agenda of the Maritime Safety Committee, as set out in annex 28.

PROPOSALS FOR THE HIGH-LEVEL ACTION PLAN AND PRIORITIES FOR THE 2016-2017 BIENNIUM

18.30 In preparation of the High-level Action Plan for the 2016-2017 biennium, the Committee instructed the sub-committees to prepare their proposed biennial agendas for the coming biennium at their forthcoming sessions, for consideration at MSC 95, and requested the Secretariat to assist them in the usual manner, taking into account that:

- .1 outputs selected for the biennial agenda should be phrased in SMART terms:*
- where the target completion year for a specific output goes beyond that 2016-2017 biennium, an interim output should be placed in the biennial agenda with a target completion year of 2016 or 2017, as appropriate, and that a related output should be placed in the Committee's post-biennial agenda with the anticipated completion year; and
- .3 biennial and provisional agendas should not contain sub-items and items placed on the provisional agendas should correspond with the outputs in the Sub-Committee's biennial agenda.
- 18.31 The Committee requested the Secretariat, in consultation with the Chairman, to prepare the Committee's proposals for the high-level action plan for the coming biennium, for consideration by MSC 95 and subsequent submission to C 114, for inclusion in the Organization's High-level Action Plan and priorities for the 2016-2017 biennium, taking into account that III 2 and CCC 2 will, as usual, report their proposed biennial agendas for the coming biennium directly to CWGSP 14 and/or C/ES 28, as appropriate.

ACTIVITIES, PRIORITIES AND PLAN OF MEETING WEEKS OF THE COMMITTEES AND THEIR SUBSIDIARY BODIES FOR THE 2016 – 2017 BIENNIUM

18.32 The Committee recalled that paragraph 3.5 of the *Guidelines on the organization* and method of work of the Maritime Security Committee and the Marine Environment Protection Committee and their subsidiary bodies (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3) requires that, at the end of the first year of the biennium, the Committee Chairmen should submit to their respective Committees a joint plan covering the activities, priorities and meetings of the

-

SMART: specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound.

Committees and their subsidiary bodies for the coming biennium, for consideration in the subsequent year, with a view to inclusion in the Secretary-General's relevant budget proposals.

- 18.33 The Committee, having noted the proposed planned meeting-weeks contained in document MSC 94/18/1 (MSC and MEPC Chairmen), recognized the need to maintain the number of Committee meeting-days for the coming biennium at eight days for the Spring sessions, and agreed that, for budgetary planning purposes, 21 meeting-weeks of MSC and MEPC and their subsidiary bodies should be included in the Secretary-General's relevant budget proposals for the 2016-2017 biennium, and requested the Secretariat to inform C 113 accordingly, bearing in mind that any final decision made by Council will take into account the views of MSC and MEPC.
- 18.34 Subsequently, the Committee approved eight days for MSC 96 (May 2016), five days for MSC 97 (December 2016) and eight days for MSC 98 (June 2017), for inclusion in the Secretary-General's relevant budget proposals for the biennium 2016-2017, and requested the Secretariat to inform C 113 accordingly.

INTERSESSIONAL MEETINGS

- 18.35 The Committee, taking into account the decisions made at MSC 93, MEPC 67 and the current session, approved/confirmed, as appropriate, the following intersessional meetings and invited the Council to endorse these decisions:
 - .1 the twenty-third meeting of the E&T Group for the IMDG Code to be held in the second quarter of 2015;
 - .2 the twenty-fourth meeting of the E&T Group for the IMDG Code to be held directly after CCC 2;
 - .3 the twenty-first session of the PPR Working Group on the Evaluation of Safety and Pollution (ESPH) to be held in September/October 2015, as approved by MSC 93 and MEPC 66;
 - a meeting of PPR Working Group on the Evaluation of Safety and Pollution (ESPH) to be held in 2016, as approved by MEPC 67;
 - .5 a meeting of the ICAO/IMO Joint Working Group on Search and Rescue to be held in 2015;
 - .6 a meeting of the Joint IMO/ITU Experts Group on Maritime Radiocommunication Matters to be held in 2015; and
 - .7 the third meeting of the Joint FAO/IMO Ad Hoc Working Group on IUU fishing and related matters (JWG) to be held in 2015.

SUBSTANTIVE ITEMS FOR INCLUSION IN THE COMMITTEES' AGENDAS FOR THE NEXT TWO SESSIONS AND PROPOSED ARRANGEMENTS FOR MSC 95

Substantive items for inclusion in the agendas for MSC 95 and MSC 96

18.36 The Committee agreed to substantive items to be included in the agendas of its ninety-fifth and ninety-sixth sessions, as set out in document MSC 94/WP.10, as amended.

Establishment of working and drafting groups during MSC 95

- 18.37 The Committee, taking into account the decisions made under various agenda items, anticipated that working and drafting groups on the following subjects might be established at the Committee's ninety-fifth session:
 - .1 Goal-based Standards:
 - .2 Maritime Security and LRIT;
 - .3 IGF Code; and
 - .4 consideration and adoption of amendments to mandatory instruments.
- 18.38 The Committee agreed that the Ad Hoc Capacity-building Needs Analysis Group (ACAG) might also need to be established.

Duration and dates of the next two sessions

18.39 The Committee noted that its ninety-fifth session is tentatively scheduled to take place from 3 to 12 June 2015; and its ninety-sixth session is tentatively scheduled for May 2016.

19 ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 2015

19.1 The Committee unanimously re-elected Mr. Christian Breinholt (Denmark) as Chairman, and Capt. M. Segar (Singapore) as Vice-Chairman, both for 2015.

20 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Thematic priorities for the ITCP 2016-17

- 20.1 The Committee recalled that, at its ninety-first session, it had agreed on the safety and security-related thematic priorities for the ITCP covering the 2014-2015 biennium (MSC 91/22, paragraphs 14.4 to 14.9 and annex 33).
- 20.2 In considering document MSC 94/20 (Secretariat) on the thematic priorities for the ITCP 2016-2017, the Committee noted that the Technical Cooperation Committee, at its sixty-fifth session in 2015, is expected to approve the ITCP for 2016-2017, which will be developed based on the assessed needs of the beneficiaries, the approved work programme of the Organization, the interests of developing partners and the thematic priorities agreed by the Committees and the corresponding needs of developing countries.
- 20.3 The Committee further noted that, to facilitate its work in this regard and taking into account the Secretary-General's review and reform initiative regarding the identification of a limited number of priority TC themes per year, the Secretariat had updated the thematic priorities agreed at MSC 91 for the ITCP covering the 2014-2015 biennium to reflect the requests and decisions of MSC 91, MSC 92 and MSC 93 and was recommending the following three themes:
 - .1 Maritime security and anti-piracy measures (priority 2);
 - .2 IMDG and IMSBC Codes (priority 5); and
 - .3 Safety of fishing vessels (priorities 6 and 7),

which, depending on the information captured in the Country Maritime Profile, would be included, as far as possible, in the ITCP for 2016-2017.

- 20.4 The Committee recalled that following discussions on the technical review of the GlobalRegs standards being developed as a model set of regulations to be used, as appropriate, for small ships not covered by the SOLAS Convention, MSC 92 had instructed the III Sub-Committee to undertake the task as proposed and that the Secretary-General had indicated his readiness to explore the provision of resources through the Organization's ITCP for this purpose.
- 20.5 The Committee also recalled that MSC 93 had confirmed the contents of the draft amendments to the IMSBC Code, which should enter into force on 1 January 2015, and that the Secretary-General stated that, taking into account the 2014 theme for the World Maritime Day, "IMO conventions: effective implementation", and in order to enhance global compliance with the Code, the Secretariat would develop a new technical cooperation programme within the framework of the ITCP in consultation with all stakeholders involved in the transport of solid bulk cargoes and would provide information on the support that IMO could provide on this matter.
- 20.6 Noting the above, and that the audit scheme is a cross-sectoral activity covering other areas of work of the Organization with the involvement of the Council and other Committees and, as such, is not a maritime safety specific issue to be included in the thematic priorities of the Committee, the Committee agreed the thematic priorities for the 2016-2017 biennium, as reflected in the annex to document MSC 94/20, and the three priority themes for 2016 and 2017 listed above.
- 20.7 The Committee also urged Member States that had not yet provided their Country Maritime Profiles (CMPs) to do so as soon as possible in GISIS, and those that have already done so to update them as and when it becomes necessary so as to provide current and correct information.

Guidelines on Places of refuge for ships in need of assistance (resolution A.949(23))

- 20.8 In considering document MSC 94/20/1 (Liberia et al.), the Committee noted that Assembly 28 had decided that proposals for review of amendments to resolutions should be made strictly in compliance with the workload management mechanism in the resolution entitled *Guidelines on the application of the Strategic Plan and the High-level Action Plan of the Organization* and the relevant Committee's guidelines and that, as the proposal in document MSC 94/20/1 amends resolution A.949(23), it would need to be addressed in accordance with the aforementioned guidelines.
- 20.9 In light of the foregoing, the Committee invited the proponents to submit the proposal for a new unplanned output to amend resolution A.949(23) on *Guidelines on Places of refuge for ships in need of assistance* at a future session of the Committee in accordance with the Committee's guidelines.
- 20.10 Furthermore, the Committee also considered the option of issuing a circular to remind Governments to take the existing guidelines into account when determining and responding to requests for places of refuge from ships in need of assistance, and invited Member Governments and international organizations to submit proposals to a future session of the Committee.

Invitation to co-sponsor the IAEA Safety Requirements on Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency

- 20.11 In considering document MSC 94/20/3 (Secretariat), the Committee noted that the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) has been revising the Safety Requirements publication (No.GS-R-2) on Preparedness and Response for a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency, which was published in 2002, taking into account, inter alia, the feedback obtained from IAEA Member States applying the aforementioned requirements, and that the draft revised requirements, as set out in annex 2 to document MSC 94/INF.16 (Secretariat), will be finalized and issued by IAEA at the end of 2014.
- 20.12 The Committee further noted that the IMO Secretariat has contributed a maritime perspective to the discussions through participation at meetings of the Inter-Agency Committee on Radiological and Nuclear Emergencies (IACRNE), and that the IAEA has officially invited IMO to co-sponsor the publication in name, without any financial implication for this Organization.
- 20.13 In line with the Organization's agreement with IAEA, the Committee agreed to accept the invitation by IAEA for IMO to co-sponsor the IAEA Safety Requirements, and requested the Secretariat to continue its participation with IACRNE, and to keep the Committee informed of any relevant developments.

Ebola virus disease

- 20.14 Expressing concern over the developments related to the spread of the Ebola virus disease, the Committee noted with appreciation the efforts of the Secretary-General and the Secretariat, in conjunction with industry and the World Health Organization, to provide advice, information and guidance to the maritime industry, based on WHO advice, as outlined in document MSC 94/20/4 (Secretariat). The Committee further noted some of the difficulties being encountered related to port delays, additional inspections, etc., and most particularly the failure to provide medical care to sick seafarers on ships that had called at ports in EVD-affected areas.
- 20.15 The Committee also noted with appreciation the information provided by WHO and by CLIA (MSC 94/INF.17).
- 20.16 The Committee further noted the need, as expressed by the delegation of Malta, to ensure that issues related to protecting the health of those conducting search and rescue operations both dedicated SAR personnel and personnel from merchant ships called upon to rescue persons in distress at sea and legal issues thereto, were to be adequately addressed. In this regard, the observer from ICS noted that it is currently redeveloping its own guidance, based on work done by Norway and relating to migrants rescued at sea, which addresses infectious diseases including but not limited to Ebola.

Global Integrated Shipping Information System (GISIS)

- 20.17 The Committee noted the information provided in document MSC 94/INF.2 (Secretariat) on the Global Integrated Shipping Information System (GISIS), in particular, regarding:
 - .1 a new module on reporting requirements which identifies whether a GISIS module can be used to fulfil a Member State's obligation and allows monitoring of Member States' own reporting performances; and

.2 a further module on survey and certification allowing Member States to fulfil the reporting requirements on exemptions and equivalents granted to individual ships, as well as the uploading of specimen certificates.

Fuel oil quality matters

- 20.18 The Committee recalled that MSC 93 had conducted an extensive discussion on "out of specification" marine fuels, and invited Governments and international organizations to submit proposals to MSC 94, to be considered in conjunction with the outcome of MEPC 67 on the issue with a view to developing a specific way forward.
- 20.19 The Committee noted that MEPC 67 had decided to establish a correspondence group to develop draft guidance on the quality of fuel oil; consider the adequacy of the current regulatory framework; and submit a report to MEPC 68.
- 20.20 The Committee further noted that Singapore had submitted document MSC 94/INF.8 on the issue, and agreed to forward that document to the MEPC Correspondence Group, as requested by MEPC 67 (MSC 94/2/4, paragraph 2.1), for further consideration. The Committee also noted that ICS and IPTA had submitted document MSC 93/INF.8 on the same matter and agreed to also forward that document to the Correspondence Group.
- 20.21 The Committee concluded by encouraging delegates to MSC to join the MEPC Correspondence Group in order to ensure that safety considerations were taken into account in the work of the group.

Expressions of appreciation

- 20.22 The Committee expressed appreciation to the following delegates and members of the Secretariat, who had recently relinquished their duties, retired or been transferred to other duties, or were about to do so, for their invaluable contribution to its work and wished them a long and happy retirement or, as the case might be, every success in their new duties:
 - Randy Eberly (United States) (on retirement)
 - Kurt Heinz (United States) (on retirement)
 - Dr. Leigh Mazany (Canada) (on transfer)
 - Esteban Pacha (IMSO) (on retirement)
 - Gary Andrew Prosser (IALA) (on relocation)
 - Captain Ted Thompson (CLIA) (on retirement)

21 ACTION REQUESTED OF OTHER IMO ORGANS

- 21.1 The Assembly, at its twenty-ninth session, is invited to.
 - .1 note the adoption by the Committee of the new chapter XIV to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and the safety-related provisions of the new mandatory International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code), both of which are expected to enter into force on 1 January 2017 (paragraphs 3.61, 3.64 and 3.65 and annexes 6 and 7);
 - .2 adopt the draft Assembly resolution on Revised guidelines for the onboard operational use of shipborne automatic identification systems (AIS) (paragraph 9.17 and annex 17); and

- .3 note that III 2, which will meet after MSC 95, has been authorized to report the outcome of its work on matters that would require the adoption of draft Assembly resolutions directly to A 29 (paragraph 10.22).
- 21.2 The Council, at its one-hundredth and thirteenth session, is invited to:
 - .1 consider the report of the ninety-fourth session of the Maritime Safety Committee and, in accordance with Article 21(b) of the IMO Convention, transmit it, with its comments and recommendations, to the twenty-ninth session of the Assembly (paragraph 1.1);
 - .2 note the adoption by the Committee of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and a mandatory code and resolutions related thereto, and the approval/adoption of non-mandatory instruments (paragraphs 3.51 to 3.57);
 - .3 note the adoption by the Committee of the new chapter XIV to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and the safety-related provisions of the new mandatory International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code), both of which are expected to enter into force on 1 January 2017 (paragraphs 3.61, 3.64 and 3.65 and annexes 6 and 7);
 - .4 note the actions taken by the Committee on issues related to maritime security and, in particular, the ongoing work related to the development of national maritime security legislation and the discussions on measures to enhance maritime cybersecurity (paragraphs 4.1 to 4.10);
 - .5 note the progress made on the implementation of GBS verification audits, in particular that five audit teams have been established and the verification audits on the 13 recognized organizations had started from the end of July 2013 (paragraph 5.3);
 - note the actions taken by the Committee on issues related to passenger ship safety and, in particular, the approval of a new unplanned output on computerized stability support for the master in case of flooding and the inclusion of new items in the long-term action plan on passenger ship safety (paragraphs 6.1 to 6.16);
 - .7 note the actions taken by the Committee on issues related to the outcome of NCSR 1 and, in particular, the approval to add a new item to the agenda of MSC 95 on "Performance review and audit of LRIT Data Centres", the developments in regard to maritime radiocommunications systems and technology, and the approval of the e-navigation Strategy Implementation Plan (SIP) (paragraphs 9.8 to 9.43 and annex 17);
 - .8 note the actions taken by the Committee on issues related to the outcome of III 1 and, in particular, on matters related to the preparation of the third meeting of the Joint IMO/FAO Working Group on IUU Fishing and Related Matters (paragraphs 10.1 to 10.28);
 - .9 note that all three United Nations organizations have approved the IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code) and the Informative Materials related thereto, which will be disseminated by MSC.1/Circ.1497 and MSC.1/Circ.1498, respectively, and made available on the UNECE website (paragraphs 11.5 to 11.7);

- .10 note the approval of the draft amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols of 1978 and 1988 related thereto (to make the IGF Code mandatory), for circulation in accordance with SOLAS article VIII, with a view to adoption at MSC 95 in conjunction with the IGF Code (paragraphs 11.57 and 11.58 and annexes 19 to 21);
- .11 note the approval, in principle, of the draft International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or other Low-flashpoint Fuels (IGF Code), for consideration at MSC 95, with a view to adoption in conjunction with the associated SOLAS and Protocol amendments (paragraphs 11.59 and 11.60 and annex 22);
- .12 note that the Committee approved draft amendments to the Committees' Guidelines on the organization and method of work (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3), subject to concurrent approval by MEPC 68, for dissemination as MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.4 (paragraphs 13.12 to 13.15 and annex 23);
- .13 note the decision taken in regard to piracy and armed robbery against ships (paragraphs 14.1 to 14.23);
- note the decisions taken in regard to notifications and circulation though GISIS for mandatory reporting requirements, that a new section in IMODOCS has been added for draft amendments to mandatory instruments agreed by IMO organs for adoption and the impact assessment of the review and reform initiative on the work of the Committee (paragraphs 15.3, 17.2 to 17.8);
- .15 note that the Committee approved of the Guidance on drafting of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments (MSC.1/Circ.1500) and instructed its subsidiary bodies to start using the guidance with immediate effect (paragraph 15.11);
- note the approval of amendments to the continuous outputs identified by C/ES.27 (paragraphs 18.1 and 18.2);
- .17 endorse the unplanned outputs agreed at the session for inclusion in the High-level Action Plan and priorities for the 2014-2015 biennium (paragraph 18.27);
- .18 note the biennial status report of the Maritime Safety Committee (paragraph 18.28 and annex 27);
- .19 note the updated post-biennial agenda of the Maritime Safety Committee (paragraph 18.29 and annex 28);
- .20 note that the proposed number of meeting weeks for the coming biennium will be 21 planned meetings weeks, for inclusion in the Secretary-General's relevant budget proposals for the biennium 2016-2017 (paragraph 18.33);
- regarding the number of meeting days of the MSC, note the Committee approved eight days for MSC 96 (May 2016), five days for MSC 97 (December 2016) and eight days for MSC 98 (June 2017), for inclusion in the Secretary-General's relevant budget proposals for the biennium 2016-2017 (paragraph 18.34);

- .22 endorse the intersessional meetings approved for 2015 and 2016 (paragraph 18.35); and
- .23 note that the Committee agreed to the safety and security-related thematic priorities for the ITCP covering the 2016-2017 biennium (paragraphs 20.1 to 20.7).
- 21.3 The Marine Environment Protection Committee, at its sixty-eighth session, is invited to:
 - note the adoption of the new SOLAS chapter XIV and the safety-related provisions of the new International Code for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Code), both of which are expected to enter into force on 1 January 2017, and take action accordingly to harmonize the environment-related provisions of the associated MARPOL amendments and the new Polar Code (paragraphs 3.19 to 3.42, 3.58 and 3.67 and annexes 6 and 7);
 - .2 note that the draft *Guidelines for port State control officers on the ISM Code* has been referred to HTW 2 for consideration under its agenda item on "Role of the human element", with a view to approval by MEPC 68 and MSC 95 (paragraph 10.12);
 - .3 note that MSC 95 will consider the draft amendments to the IMSBC Code related to HME substances, taking into account the advice of MEPC 68 (paragraph 11.3);
 - .4 note the approval of the draft amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols of 1978 and 1988 related thereto to make the IGF Code mandatory, with a view to subsequent adoption at MSC 95 in conjunction with the IGF Code (paragraphs 11.57 and 11.58 and annexes 19 to 21);
 - .5 note the approval, in principle, of the draft International Code of Safety for Ships using Gases or other Low-flashpoint Fuels (IGF Code), for consideration at MSC 95, with a view to adoption in conjunction with the associated amendments to SOLAS and the Protocols (paragraphs 11.59 and 11.60 and annex 22);
 - note that the Committee approved the Guidance on drafting of amendments to the 1974 SOLAS Convention and related mandatory instruments (MSC.1/Circ.1500) and instructed its subsidiary bodies to start using the guidance with immediate effect (paragraph 15.11);
 - .7 approve the draft amendments to the Committees' guidelines on the organization and method of work (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3, for dissemination as MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.4 (paragraphs 13.12 to 13.15 and annex 23);
 - .8 approve the draft amendment to the Revised guidelines for Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) for use in the IMO rule-making process (MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12), for dissemination as MSC-MEPC.2/Circ.12/Rev.1 (paragraph 13.17 and annex 24);
 - .9 approve the amendments to the continuous outputs identified by C/ES.27 (paragraphs 18.1 and 18.2);

- note that the proposed number of meeting weeks for the coming biennium will be 21 planned meetings weeks, for inclusion in the Secretary-General's relevant budget proposals for the biennium 2016-2017;(paragraph 18.33);
- .11 note the concurrent approval for the intersessional meetings of the PPR Working Group on the Evaluation of Safety and Pollution (ESPH), to be held in 2015 and 2016 (paragraph 20.28.5); and
- .12 note that documents MSC 93/INF.8 and MSC 94/INF.8 related to fuel oil quality matters have been forwarded to the MEPC Correspondence Group on Fuel Oil Quality and that the Committee invited interested delegations to join the group in order to ensure that safety considerations are taken into account (paragraphs 20.20 and 20.21).
- 21.4 The Technical Cooperation Committee, at its sixty-fifth session, is invited to:
 - .1 review current technical assistance activities, taking into account the III Sub-Committee's view that such a review would, at the current stage, be necessary at least for the areas of delegation of authority, including establishment of necessary oversight programmes of recognized organizations (paragraph 10.20);
 - .2 note the outcome on matters related to capacity-building for the implementation of new measures (paragraphs 12.1 to 12.4); and
 - .3 note that the Committee agreed to the safety and security-related thematic priorities for the ITCP covering the 2016-2017 biennium (paragraphs 20.1 to 20.7).
- 21.5 The Facilitation Committee, at its fortieth session, is invited to:
 - .1 note the decisions taken on matters related to the preparation of draft guidance on the development of national maritime security legislation (paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2);
 - note the decisions taken in regard to proposed measures to enhance maritime cybersecurity (paragraphs 4.3 to 4.7):
 - .3 in regard to the use of electronic certificates, note that III 2 has been instructed to consider amending the "List of certificates and documents required to be carried on board ships" to reflect the provisions of the Guidelines for the use of electronic certificates (paragraphs 10.3 to 10.5);
 - note that E&T 23 has been instructed to consider a proposal regarding the information required in the Dangerous Goods Manifest and FAL Form 7 (FAL 39/4, annex 2) and report its outcome to CCC 2, which will advise FAL 40 accordingly (paragraph 11.9);
 - .5 note that the Committee had approved revisions to the Committees' guidelines on the organization and method of work (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.3), subject to concurrent approval by MEPC 68, for dissemination as MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4/Rev.4 (paragraphs 13.12 to 13.15 and annex 23); and

note the decisions taken in regard to notifications and circulation though GISIS for mandatory reporting requirements and that a new section in IMODOCS has been added for draft amendments to mandatory instruments agreed by IMO organs for adoption (paragraphs 15.3, 17.2 and 17.3).

(The annexes will be issued as addenda to this document)